PRINTED BY TOWNE & BOLTON

A B C D E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

37
89
183
225

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Al
Alf
Ana
Ava
Aus
Alfo
Ans
Alb
Ah

Alford Coffman Co. vs W. Isworth 63
Alford v Rodgers vs 93
Anderson Jens vs John Hartley 99
Avazian Thas et al vs Sam Zilz 195
Austin E.L. vs J. Metzer 196
Alford v Rogers vs E H Gould 198
Austin E.F. vs J. P. Jack 202
Albin Taylor vs J. Papasian 221
Ah Quong vs H Partamian 224

Boss John L. vs J.E. Ragsdale 11
Beal Geo W. vs W A Noland 27
Blachley R.J. vs D.M Cutts 48
" " " vs E F Branch 61
Bowen W.D. vs L.J. Miller et al 65
Butts J.N. vs Frank Lyons 83
Brashear Mrs J.J. vs N.M Decker 106
Basco Edw. vs Geo W. Smith et al 117
Bretz Jas vs Bishop Greely v Kaasberg 121
Burris E.M. agt. vs Owen Murray 133
Bevins Mary M vs N.C. Hansen 138
Begovich A et al vs J.D. Jones 180
Borello Frank V.S. W H Deming 220

A B C D E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Clark M.L. vs S Lehmann 5
Cabb D H vs T. Bracken et al 13
Crow J.W. vs J G James 57
Church Starr K vs M. J Church et al 78
Cereni John vs S.B. Tombs 81
J. M Church vs N. B Bridges 100
Castigan J. M et al vs G. Nicolini 107
Church Geo E vs D W Parkhurst 122
Cerini John vs G Tombs et al 148
Castigan J. M et al vs M Kaller 154
Carithers W et al vs J H Kelly et al 182
Carey A vs L. J Miller et al 208
Clark F.S. vs G A Anderson et al 212
Collins W.L. vs Fresno Canning Co 249

Dickinson R.F. vs H H Hataling et al 1
Davison Wm vs Gabarino & Jones 29
Dickey W. J vs Isaac Lyon et al 68
Dias A.L. Ws. M.R. Mendonca 75
Deuel J.C. vs Gordon Bros 85
Deon Will vs J.C. Garner 157
Dixon Peter vs W.J. Martin 166
Dierssen Geo E vs Weimann & Mayer 174
Dunlap Wm vs Weimann & Mayer 176
Donnelly Pat. vs Gordon & Ostler 188
Davis Frank vs John Roltger 222

Everts G.A. vs Jesse Irvine et al	34
Edwards Geo vs J.M. Barclay	45
Everts O.L. vs C.L. Morrill	67
Evinger S. vs N.L. Bachman	71
Edwards Geo vs R.E. Dix	200

Ford H. vs John Jackson 15
Frankel D. vs L. C. Quong Wah 30
Fair Grounds Ass vs J. E. Hughes 44
Farmers Bank vs F. Shutter 72
Fresno Nat Bk vs W. T. Sesnon et al 108
" " " Jas Gooden et al 109
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs M. McWhorter et al 158
First Nat Bk vs L. Papovich et al 171
Fresno Republican vs S T Langley 185
Fresno Canal & Irr Co vs Henry Pers 187
Fisher H. S. vs Hick Dock 193
Farmers Bank vs L. Papovich et al 201
Fleishman L. P. vs Jas Spinney 206
Fosky Lewis vs Willet Gates 225
Farmers Warehouse Co vs J P Eaton 232
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs A. C. Williams et al 234
Featherstone M. E. vs Bruce & Goodrich 236
Fresno Loan & Sav Bk vs W. S. Perry et al 241

E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Gilbert N.D. vs. Geo Churcher et al	12
Gordon Alex vs W. J. Gordon et al	47
Giddings E.E. vs D.L. Wright	84
Gardner R.C. vs T B Jones	94
Gaslmer Joe vs B.H Borrn	125
Gorrell John vs May Abbott	134
Gearhart C.W. vs P.W Hastie	183
" " " vs A.P. Armas	184
Goodman S.B. vs J Hockenbury	217

Hussey A.L. Gdn vs John Morley 4
Herstich M. vs D Rafaelovich 16
Houcks J.T. vs H.J. Fouts 21
Hansen Jans P. vs Jens Larsen et al 31
Hansen Laures Pedu vs Jens Anderson 35
Hemstron E. vs J.D Wilson et al 38
Henry Fred vs J.M Barclay 43
Henry S.W. vs J.M. Martin et al 49
Hayden T.M vs L.E. Walker 70
Hockenberry J. vs J.L. Welty 52
Henderson H B vs Geo B Passon et al 90
Henderson Eli vs Thos J Patterson et ux 96
Hutchinson G.D. vs O.F. Force 111
Hang Chong vs Hop Boo et al 115
Hansen L. vs J.W Reese 126
Huber Jas vs Owen Murray 127
Hutchinson Sanborn vs Long Bros & Co 135
Horn T.L. et al vs F Bramer 147
Harris Laura A vs S.K Lemon 172
Hoover T.A vs J.S Bedford 181
Hussey A.L. vs Geo Schorling 199
Haber G.W. vs E Zirchen 211
Huber Jas vs Sing Lee & Co 215
Hare G.A. vs C.B. Pond 228
Hare G.A. vs Horace Munn et al 237
House J.H. vs C.M. Machney 242
Huber Jas vs S.W Kenyon et al 245
Hershey C. vs M.A Bostick et al 247
Hobbs A.L. vs Jens Hansen 250

G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Ivasen C. P. vs B. C. Wills 66
Ivreberry Pedro vs W. J. Dickey et al 77

Johnson G.W. vs R. B. Shipp 62
Jensen G. vs Niels Mortensen 137
Jones Geo W. vs S.E. Scott 231

I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Kohler Geo M. vs W.S. Bentham 3
Kaarsberg H. M. H vs Chas Fiske 64
Kutner Goldstein Co. vs T.E. Jones 91
" " " vs C.G. Staple 92
Kearney M. Thos vs The A.R. Briggs Co 112
Kaarsberg H M H vs N. Boysen 114
Kohler Geo M. vs Geo Ferwen 130
Kutner Goldstein Co vs A Van de Goorberg 146
Kirkpatrick A H vs Barton Estate Co 169
Knoblock F. vs F M Sponogle 203.

Leach Lewis et al vs S Goldstein et al 17
Lehman Chas B. vs Geo Gilman 33
Lehmann Ellen vs Geo Burmer 39
Lewis D. W. vs A. C. Wilkinson 59
Lowry J. S. et al vs F. C. Lyon et al 60
Low Kine vs J. T. Shanklin et al 69
Lowry J. T. vs J. E. Peck et al 89
Lorenz Bula E vs J. F. McDonald et al 124
Lett W. P. vs John Doyle 132
Lyon W Parker vs Mrs Myrick 177
Laranjo J. J. vs Frank Martin 240
Lane J. M. vs O. A. Stone 251

Moller H.P. vs Jacob Johnson et al 2
Mendosa M.R. vs Frank Martin 14
Morris J.B. vs F. Von Jaachumsen 23
Mandlebaum F. vs W H Childers 26
" " " " " " 27
Madsen M vs E Beeson 46
Mason W. R. vs National Spectator 53
Murphy Varnish Co. vs. F.A. Merwin 54
Modena Antone vs Jno Turnbull 74
Mandlebaum F. vs R J Swinington 86
Marini Edw vs Joe Sagneri 118
Madsen M. vs Ole G. Lee 119
Masser J.J vs Wm A Fisher 142
Myers M. vs B.C. Wells 152
Moore Clark vs M J Church et al 155
Mayer Paul T.J. vs F Paatsch 223
Moore Harvey vs H.E. Adams et al 235

McKinney J. F. vs Flumer & Dor Co 73
McCarale Jas. vs John B. Murphy 110
McMullen E. C. vs Chittenden & Menett 194
McDonald Neil vs Baird Bros 205
McKenzie W. H. vs R. R. Woodworth Jul 216

Neeley Wm vs R. P Ashe Gdn &c 139
Nourse Geo A. vs W P Thompson 187
Noble Alex vs W A Carruthers 239

Oberg August no John Havard 213

Pattee & Lett Co vs John Doyle	76
Prewitt H. J. vs H H Alexander	103
Paradise Medicine Co vs Minier	104
Pond Jesse W vs S. D. Minor	128
" " " vs E J Hill	131
Puget Sd Lumber Co. vs T A Bell	145
" " " " vs Wm A Fishu et ux	150
" " " " vs A Esterbrooks	151
Pattison I. N vs J. W. McDonald	153
Porteous Jas vs J F Mahoney et ux	167
Porter W. W. vs W. P. Thompson	173
Pero Henry vs J E. Peck	209
Peiples Sav Bk vs Jacob Legler	230
Pearce J. G. vs C B Jeffries	246

P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Rhodes J.G. vs A H Powers Jr	10
Reily J.R. vs Wm White	22
Roberts A.T. vs P. Howard et al	36
Ryan Thos P. vs Jacob Levi	37
Rossetti R. vs ST Vian	41
Robinson A.W. vs W.G. Gooding	42
Raeding F vs Marie Strelinger et al	51
Radin & Kamp vs S J Trimble	82
Rowell & Johnson vs Jennie Pickle et al	102
Radin & Kamp vs V. M Coz	123
Rhodes J.G. vs John Kerr Jr	159
Riege C.H vs C.G Sayle et al	161
Rosphuno M vs G.N Freman	170
Rottger John vs	210
Reuser J.P. vs A.R. Cole et al	229

Shanklin J. T. vs J. M Church 6
Schweizer C. A. vs R. Moore 7
Schwartz & Nathan vs Thos J Patterson 9
Sayle Ed Flora vs M L. Williams et al 18
Slack W. H. vs W. H. Miller 25
Schweizer C. A. vs Jno Carlile et al 32
Sabliek M. vs Wm Wier 40
Serpas Frank A vs Manuel & Regas et al 53
Stevens A T vs A. J. Cardozo 56
Stock Jas vs Bernhard Speh 87
Sugihara K vs J. M Martin 97
Sadler Eugene vs L. W Haycraft 98
Stuart Alex vs: E Anderson et al 105
Sutherland J vs J. G. James 113
Stevens A T vs Zack Hull 136
Shanklin J. W. vs H. L. Rhodes et ux 140
Sponogle F. M vs Jac Spinney 141
" " " vs J. E. Cartwright 144
" " " vs Dan Maloney 156
Silva Frank V. vs J R Mondoncia 162
" " " vs " " 163
Seal L. C. vs J A Dolson 164
Steffenson C. C. vs S. C. Swaggerty 165
Singer Mfg Co vs J. D. Fagan et ux 175
Stephens & Bean vs J S Bedford 178
S. F. & Sacto Candy Mfg Co. vs Krohn & Purden 189
Sponogle F M vs E. O Magoon 190
Seaton D. M vs J Hefner et ux 204
Serpa F. A. vs F J Caetano 214
Scribner O. vs E. Morris & Co 219
Serpa F. A. vs Bertha L Joaquin 226
Scaldebrand Thos vs Eric Johnson 238
Soares M M. vs Frank Martin 248

R S T U V W X Y Z

Tsukata Frank vs Avarian 24
Thorne A. J vs F Knoblock 79
Thomas W. R. vs J W Crow 80
Tipton L & Co vs J H Bergman et al 116
Tufts Mrs C. C. S. vs Geo E. Church 129
Thompson W. P. vs J. J Connolly 168
Tasky Lewis vs Willet Gates 225
Thomas W. R. vs. W A Shepherd 233
Todd Robt L. vs K. G & Co & Geo Matheson 248

Union Ice Cons F. Z. Smith 160

T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Van Valer C. vs Frank V. Silva 8

" " " " " " " 19

Washburne W.K. vs Z D Baker 20
Watkins F.D. vs Leroy Boyce et ux 50
Williams W.M. vs J W Todd et al 58
Washburne W.K. B Spehr 88
Wright Robt. vs E.J. Hill 95
Wolters Bros vs Domingo Jauregui berry 101
Wong Kee vs Fong Chow et al 120
Walker J.C. vs A Walsh 143
Woodward O J et al vs G G Goucher 149
Wehe Aug et al vs Ah Toy 179
Wilkinson M.E. vs Quong Wah Chung 186
Wolters Bros & Co vs G A Baum 207
Walton S.J. vs H H Gillam et ux 218
Wharton F.E. et al vs B. Marks 227
Wampum Trust Co vs G A Baum 243

V
W
X
Y
Z

X
Y
Z

Z

In

R

S
Co

Oc
"
"
Ma
"
"
"

No. 250

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. F. Dickinson and Mrs B. M. Dickinson
Plaintiff

vs.

H. H. Halaling, John F. McDonald and Cook & Langley Company
Defendants

Action Claim and delivery

Demand $ 250

S. J. Hinds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Stanton & Carter & H. H. Halaling
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Ckg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	17		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	18		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23		Defendants and file written demurrer	50	
Nov	3		Motion of Atty for pltff demurrer set for hearing this day at 1 30 P. M	50	
"	3		The demurrer submitted & sustained with permission to amend.	50	
"	3		Amended complaint filed showing service	25	
"	8		Defendants demur orally to the amended complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

2

No. 251.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. P. Maller

Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Johnson and Ben Johnson

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 155.00

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

E D Edwards & D. M Seaton
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	17		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	P P 2.50
"	17		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	18		Deft Jacob Johnson by E D Edwards files written answer	25	
"	19		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	19		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	20		Deft Ben Johnson By E D Edwards and D M Seaton answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	20		Deft J Johnson moves the Court for an order releasing the property under attachment herein and discharging the attachment on bond upon notice to the plaintiff ~~tok~~ at 3. P. M.	75	
"	20		Parties present, L. L. Coy representing the plaintiff and the Court orders that the attachment be released as to J Johnson on filing bond in the sum of $200	50	
"	20		Bond filed and ordered that attachment be dissolved and property held thereunder be released	1 00	
Nov	4		On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial Nov 8, 1893 at 2 P. M	50	
"	8		At the time set all parties in Court and the trial proceeds. H. P. Maller M Rasmussen, James Peterson & Perry Nelson are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and E. M Burris, Jacob Johnson Mrs Jacob Johnson C G. Anderson and Andrew Anderson are sworn and testifies in behalf of the defendants. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement —	Jury 3.00	7.50 Pay Seaton
Dec	21		The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $ 95.00	2.50	
"			Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. P. Maller and against the defendants Jacob Johnson and Ben Johnson for the sum of $95.00 & for costs of suit taxed at $ 29.80 Total Judgment $ 124.80.	1 00 Const	11 00 18 80 29 80
			Done in open Court this 21st Dec 1893. H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Dec 1894	26		Defendant files Notice of appeal	25	
Jany	3		Defendant files Undertaking on appeal	75	
"	18		Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	

No. 252

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo. M. Kohler, Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Bentham, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 81 25

Geo M Kohler
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				Pd 2 50
Oct	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed	1 75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	28	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 21st day of October 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of Geo M Kohler it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W. S. Bentham be and the same is hereby entered		95
		On further motion of said Geo M Kohler it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo M Kohler and against the defendant W. S. Bentham for the sum of $81 25 and for costs of suit taxed at $13 00		25
			1 00	6 70
		Total judgment $94 25	Const.	6 30
				13 00
		Done in open Court this 28th Oct 1893		
Nov	10	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy		20
Dec 1894	22	Above judgment credited with $74 50 (all costs & $6 80 pd on demand)		
Feby	9	The above judgment satisfied in full this day $5 00		

No. 253.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs A. L. Hussey as guardian of Amanda Mc Etta Lawrenson
Plaintiff

vs.

John Morley
Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 125.00

E A Williams & Sayle & C
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	19		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	19		Petition filed and order made appointing Mrs A. L. Hussey Guardian ad litem of plaintiffs	75	
"	21		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	21		Defendant in person answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint (orally) with leave to file amended answer.	25	
Nov	1		Defendant by Geo W. Jones files written amended answer	25	

No. 254

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. L. Clark
Plaintiff

vs.

D. Lehmann
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 154 16

O. L. Evarts & D. S. Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	21	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1	25	Pd 25
"	21	Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment made & filed	1	75	
"	21	Writ of attachment issued		50	
"	23	Alias Writ of attachment issued		50	

No. 255

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J T Shanklin
Plaintiff

vs.

J. M Church
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 20.00

J T Shanklin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	21		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Of 2.50
"	24		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	27		The above action is this day dismissed the demand and all costs being paid H Austin Justice of the Peace	1 00	Of 2.00 Oct 28.

No. 256

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas A Schweizer
Plaintiff

vs.

R. Moore
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 63 00

Chas A Schweizer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Cha			
Oct	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	27	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	27	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 257

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Van Valen and I. N. Hyde, Plaintiff(s)

vs.

Frank V. Silva, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 80.00

J. G. Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Oct	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25		Df 2 50	
Nov	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50			
"	7	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 1st day of Nov. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of J. G. Rhodes It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Frank V. Silva be and the same is hereby entered	95			
"	8	It is stipulated in open Court between the attorneys herein that the above default of Frank V Silva be set aside and defend be allowed to file his answer	25			
		and On motion of F H Short it is ordered that the above default of Frank V Silva be and the same hereby is set aside	50			
"	8	Defendant files written answer	25			
"	8	On motion of plaintiffs cause set for trial Dec 7. 1893 at 10. a m	50			
Dec	5	Depositions of J D Ellen as per stipulation filed	25			
"	7	On motion of defendant trial of cause continued to Dec 12. 1893 - 10 a m	50			
"	12	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. Six witnesses are sworn and put under the rule and C. Van Valen is on the stand and testifies whereupon the further hearing of this cause is continued to Dec 22. 1893. at 9 30 a m.	2 50			
"	22	At the time set all parties are present and the trial is resumed C. Van Valen testifies. The matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for defendant for costs.	50			
		Therefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Frank V. Silva and against the plaintiffs C. Van Valen and I. N. Hyde for the costs of this action taxed at $23.95. Done in open Court this 22nd day of Dec 1893. S. G. Austin, Justice of the Peace.	1 00	8 95		
			Clerk	5 00		
			Witness	8 00		
			Interpreter	1 00		
			25	23 95		
1894 Jany	10	Plaintiffs file notice of Appeal to Superior Court		6 00		
				29 95		
"	10	Plaintiffs make and file undertaking on appeal	1 25	5 00		
"	18	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	24 95		
			11 95			

No. 258

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Schwartz & Nathan
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas J. Patterson
Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 128 92 & Int

Geo A. Nourse
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	28	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Pd 1 00
1894 Oct	25	Summons issued	50	

No. 259

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. G. Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Powers Jr, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 5.00

J. G. Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Stanford Carter & Young & Powers, Attorneys for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		
Oct	30	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
Nov	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service (4)	50	
"	16	Defendant by Young & Powers appear specially & demand Bill of Part	25	
"	16	Defendant by Young & Powers files written demurrer	25	
"	16	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Nov next 20 at 1-30 P.M.	50	
"	20	Demurrer submitted overruled and 1 day given to answer	75	
"	20	Defendant by Young & Powers (B.L.C.) answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	20	Defendant files written answer	25	
Dec	23	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Dec 30, 1893 at 10 A.M.	50	
"	28	The parties are all in Court and consent that the trial of this cause proceed at this time and the trial proceeds the defendant reserving his objection to the jurisdiction of the court which was overruled and an exception taken. J. G. Hart and J. G. Rhodes are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and H. H. Hotaling is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defendant and the depositions heretofore taken on stipulation on the part of defendant, to wit: of Frank H. Powers and A. H. Powers Jr were read by the attorney for defendant. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	1 50	
1894 Jany	10	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $ 3.50 & for costs of suit taxed at $ 9.50 Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. G. Rhodes and against the defendant A. H. Powers Jr for the sum of $ 3.50 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 9.50. Done in open Court this 10th day of Jany 1894. H. Austin, Justice of the Peace	1 00	7 00
			Const	2 50
				$ 9 50
"	25	Defendant files notice of appeal	25	
"	25	Defendant files undertaking on appeal	75	
Feb	6	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	9 50

No. 260

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John L. Bass
Plaintiff

vs.

J E Ragsdale
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 20

Leo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	31	Cause entered complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
Nov	1	Defendant in person answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	8	On motion of defendant above cause set for trial Nov 10 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	8	Subpoena for 4 witnesses issued for defendant	1 00	
"	8	Subpoena for 3 witness for plaintiff issued	75	
"	10	Subpoena of plaintiff returned and filed	25	
"	10	Subpoena of defendant returned and filed	25	
"	10	At the time set the the plaintiff is in court and there is no appearance on behalf of the defendant. After waiting one full hour the defendant is called from the door and fails to answer whereupon the plaintiff proceeds to the trial in his absence. It appearing to the Court that he has received written notice of the time set for the trial herein. John L Bass is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and the case is closed. From the testimony adduced the Court finds for the plaintiff as prayed for.	75	
		Whereon Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff John L. Bass and against the defendant J.E. Ragsdale for the sum of $20.00 and for costs of suit taxed at $16.25 as per cost bill on file	100	6 75
		Total Judgment $36.25	Const	4 00
		Done in open Court this 10th Nov 1893	Witness	6 00
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		16 75
Nov	27	Notice of appeal filed by defendant	25	
Dec	6	Notice of appeal filed by defendant	25	
"	8	Undertaking on appeal filed by appellant	1 25	
		Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	10.00

Justices costs paid to LW Jones June 13. 1894 $10.00

12

No. 261

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N D Gilbert

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Churcher and Chas F King

Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 100 80

N D Gilbert
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS. Cha Feb 6. 94 Costs 6 20 pd by pltff in full.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	31	Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 3 00
	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	"	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Nov	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	6	Defendants by Geo B Graham ~~demurs~~ orally, ~~and says the complaint~~ ~~does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action~~	25	
1894 Feby	6	On motion of pltff hearing on above demurrer set for Feb 23 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	23	The demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	24	and notice waived by Geo B Graham in open Court		
"	24	It appearing to the Court that notice of the overruling of the demurrer herein and of the order to answer at once has been duly waived and that no answer has been filed herein now on motion of N. D. Gilbert it is ordered that the default of the said defendants Geo Churcher and Chas F King be and the same are hereby entered	1 00	
		On further motion of N D Gilbert it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff N D Gilbert and against the defendants Geo Churcher and Chas F King for the sum of $127 27 (Principal $100.80 Interest $26 57) ~~and~~ for costs of suit herein taxed at $12 55	100	7 25
			Cmsr	5 30
				12 55

Total Judgment $139 27

Done in open Court this 24th Feby 1894

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace.

No. 262

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. H. Cobb

Plaintiff

vs.

P. Bracken, A Bracken and C Hogan

Defendant

Action a/c Rent

Demand $ 27 50

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	3		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	3		The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff the demand and all costs being paid.		Pd 3 50 in full

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 263.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. R. Mendasa, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Martini, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 100 Int + atty fee

Tupper + Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3.	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	9	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	10	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 3rd day of Nov 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of H H Welch it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Frank Martini be and the same is hereby entered	Nov 17. Pd 7 50	
			90	
		On further motion of said H H Welch it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. R. Mendasa and against the defendant Frank Martini for the sum of $132.18 (being Prin $100 Int. 7.18 Attorney fee $25.00) and for costs of suit taxed at. $35.20	100	6 70
		Total judgment. $167.38	Const	28 50
				35 20
		Done in open Court this 10th Nov 1893. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	10	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 20	
Dec	9	Execution returned showing payment of costs only		

No. 264

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Ford, Plaintiff

vs.

John Jackson, Defendant

Action Ac

Demand $ 17 25

A M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893,		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	—
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	Pd 5 50 Nov 4, 1893
"	4	The above action is this day dismissed by plaintiff personally costs being paid A Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 265

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M Herstich, Plaintiff

vs.

D. Rafaelovich, Defendant

Action a/c (assigned)

Demand $ 5 50

M Herstich
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2 00
Nov	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	8	The defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	20	
"	9	On motion of plaintiff this cause set for trial Nov 21, 1893 at 2 P. M	50	
"	21	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. M Herstich D Bogunich L Lovovich John Nlchovich and J J Snyder are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and D Rafaelovich is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 75	
"	22	The court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for	20	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Herstich and against the defendant D Rafaelovich for the sum of $85.50 and for costs of suit taxed at $21.30	1 00	8 00
		Total judgment $26.80	Const	4 50
		Done in open Court Nov 22. 1893	Witness	8 80
				21 30
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	22	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
"	23	Judgment credited with $8.25 (Justice $4.25 Const 4.00)		

No. 266

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lewis Leach, William Helm and Alexander Gordon, Plaintiff

vs.

S.Goldstein, J.M.Shannon, G.H.Eggers, M.Frankenau, J.W.Ferguson A.B.Butler, J.W.Pew, W.Forsyth, R.G.Stitt, Mrs M.J.Blasingame, widow, N.L.F.Bachman, Maude Ferguson, a minor, Cora Ferguson, Annie Ferguson, J.R.Heinlin, T.C.White, Eliza A.Baldwin, W.M. Raynor, L.J.Miller, E.E.Vincent, T.C.Judkins, J.E.Brown, J.B. King, Marcus Pollasky, W.M.Hughes, E.F.Bernhard and J.W.Coffman Executors of the estate of George Bernhard, deceased, J.D.Mc Connell and B.M.Hague, co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of McConnell & Hague, Defendants.

Action Prom Note See Caldwell

Demand $ 15,000.00

Pupper & Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

			COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Ad 2.50
1896 Nov	1	Cause entered complaint filed	1.25	
Oct 1896	10	Plaintiff as of course files amended complaint and summons issued thereon	.75	
Mch	9	Defendant TC White by Geo E Church demurs orally to plaintiffs amended complaint & says it does not state facts suffficient to constitute a cause of action	.25	
Mch	10	Defendants Shannon and L.J. Miller by N.E. Coldwell demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	.50	

No. 265

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M Herstich

Plaintiff

vs.

D. Rafaelovich

Defendant

Action a/c (assigned)

Demand $ 5 50

M Herstich

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	7		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pf 2 00
"	7		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	8		The defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	20	
"	9		On motion of plaintiff this cause set for trial Nov 21, 1893 at 2 P. M	50	
"	21		At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. M Herstich D Bogunich L Lovovich John Nlchovich and J J Snyder are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and D Rafaelovich is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 75	
"	22		The court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for	25	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Herstich and against the defendant D Rafaelovich for the sum of $5 50 and for costs of suit taxed at $21 30	1 00	8 00
			Total Judgment $26.80	Const	4 50
			Done in open Court Nov 22. 1893	Witness	8 80
					21 30
			H Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	22		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
"	23		Judgment credited with $8 25 (Justice $4 25 Const 4 00)		

No. 266

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lewis Leach, William Helm Ind. } Action Prom Note See Caldwell

5000.00

Pupper & Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		~~Chg~~ PD 2.00 Sep 4/95		PD 2.50
Nov 1894	7	Cause entered complaint filed	1.25	
Oct 1896	10	Plaintiff as of course files amended complaint and summons issued thereon	75	
Mch	9	Defendant J C White by Geo E Church demurs orally to plaintiffs amended complaint & says it does not state facts suffficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Mch	10	Defendants Shannon and L. J. Miller by N E Caldwell demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 267.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E Flora Sayle

Plaintiff

vs.

M. L. Williams and C. G. Sayle

Defendants

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 125. Int. & attys fees

E. A. Williams

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 00
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	It appearing to the Court from the return on the original summons that a copy of the same attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant M. L. Williams on the 8th day of Nov. 1893 at this Township and that the said defendant M. L. Williams has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit; five days has fully expired, now on motion of E. A. Williams it is ordered that the default of the said defendant M. L. Williams be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said E A Williams it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for to wit. Principal $125.00 Interest $53.53. Attys fees $20.00 & costs	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. Flora Sayle and against the defendant M. L. Williams for the sum of $ 198.53 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 6.20	1 00	4 20
		Total judgment $ 204.73	Const	2 00
				6 20
		Done in open court this 14th Nov. 1893		
		Otz Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

11/23/94

No. 268

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Van Valer and I. N. Hyde, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank V Silva, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 80.00

J. G. Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2.50
Nov	8	Cause entered complaint and filed and fee	1.00	
"	8	F H Short appears without process on behalf of defendant	25	
"	8	Defendant by F H Short answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	8	On motion of plaintiffs cause set for trial Dec 7. at 10 a m	50	
"	14	Defendant files written answer	25	
Dec	7	On motion of defendant cause continued to Dec 12. 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	12	At the time set the parties are in Court and the trial proceeds. Plaintiff moves the Court for permission to amend his complaint by inserting the name of Harriet E Moore instead of Lucy M Fay as owner of the land in question. The motion granted and by stipulation the amendments made by interlineation. Six witnesses are sworn and put under the rules. C. Van Valer is on the stand & testifies whereupon the further proceedings herein are continued to Dec 22. 1893 at 9.30 a m.	2.25	
"	22	At the time set the trial is resumed C. Van Valer ~~being~~ is on the stand and testifies, The matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for defendant for his costs.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Frank V. Silva and against the plaintiffs C. Van Valer and I. N. Hyde for the costs of this action taxed at $ 6.00	1.00	6.00
		Done in open Court this 22nd Dec 1893		
		H G Austin Justice of the Peace		
1894 Jany	10	Plaintiffs file notice of appeal	25	
"	10	Plaintiffs make & file undertaking on appeal	1.25	
"	18	Transcript & papers on appeal	1.50	
			9.00	

No. 269

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. K. Washburne, Plaintiff

vs.

Z D Baker, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 5-90

Strother & Strother
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of Attachment issued	50	
"	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	9	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	13	Defendant by E. S. Van Meter files written answer	25	

No. 270

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. T. Houcks, J. P. Meux & A B Butler
Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Fouts
Defendant

Action on Judgment

Demand $57 20

Geo W. Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	6	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Pd 2 50

No. 271

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. R. Reily
Plaintiff

vs.

William White
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 46 50

J. R. Reily
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Cl'y		
Nov	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
1894 Nov	20	Summons returned & filed not served	50	

No. 272.

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. B. Morris, Plaintiff

vs.

F Von Jochumsen, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 19 55

J. B. Morris. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Cha		
Nov	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	Dec 4 '93
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	52 50 Pd by
"	9	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	G. H. Monroe
Dec	4	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff demand and all costs being paid H Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 273

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Tsukata — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Avarian alias H Avakian — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 128 85

Geo L. Hood — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	Pd 5 50 By Hood
"	15	Now comes defendant and answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	20	
Dec 1894	28	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	20	
Oct	10	Agreement as to amount due in this cause filed	25	
"	10	It appearing to the Court from the agreement this day filed herein that the defendant admits the indebtedness alleged in the complaint in writing and that there has been paid in pursuance of said agreement the sum of $84 85 and that there is still due from defendant to plaintiff the sum of $69 50 with accruing costs. Now on motion of Geo L. Hood, It is ordered that judgment be entered accordingly	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Frank Tsukata and against the defendant H. Avakian for the sum of $69 50 and for accruing costs taxed at $1 75	1 00	1 75
		Total judgment $71 25		
		Done in open Court this 10th Oct 1894		
		A z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Oct	15	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Show Const	1 20	

No. 274

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W H Slack, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Miller, Defendant

Action Rent

Demand $ 250.00

O L Everts, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec 1894	23	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
Jany	5	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
Jany	5	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the County of San Joaquin State of California on the 15th day of Dec 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to-wit: Twenty days has fully expired, Now on motion of O L. Everts It is ordered that the default of the said defendant W.H. Miller be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said O L. Everts it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W H Slack and against the defendant W. H. Miller for the sum of $254.62 (being principal $250 & Interest $4.62) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $15.90	100	6 70 9 20 15 90
		Total Judgment $170.52		
		Done in open Court this 5th Jany 1894. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.		
June	19	abstract of judgment issued to O L Everts	100	

11/23/94

No. 275

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Mandlebaum, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Childers, Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 195.50 Int & attys fees

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

S J Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893,		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
					Pd 2.50
Nov	11		Cause entered complaint filed and ~~summons issued~~	1.25	
"	11		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	11		Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	15		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.50	
"	20		Defendant by S J Hinds files written demurrer	.25	
"	24		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	.25	
"	24		Return of attachment on real estate filed	.25	
"	25		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Nov 28, 1893 at 1-30 P.M.	.50	
"	28		Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	.75	
"	28		Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint with permission to answer specially hereafter	.25	
Dec	4		On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Dec 9, 1893 at 2 P.M. and S J Hinds atty for deft. notified in writing	.50	
"	9		At the time set the plaintiff is present in Court, and there is no appearance on behalf of the defendant. After waiting one full hour from the time set and it appearing that the defendant has been duly notified thereof the trial proceeds in the absence of defendant. H. F. Johnson is sworn and testifies and the note sued on is offered and received in evidence. From the testimony adduced the Court orders judgment as prayed for, to wit: Principal $195.50 Interest $14.66 Attys fee $25.00 & Costs	1.00	
			Whereof judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. Mandlebaum and against the defendant W. H. Childers for the sum of $235.16 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $54.55 Total 289.71	1.00	8.75
			Done in open Court this 9th Dec 1893		
			H Z Austin		
			Justice of the Peace		
	9		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1.25	
1894 Jany	2		Execution returned partly satisfied (All costs & $53.75 pd on demand		
"	16		Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1.25	

Dec 24, 1893 Justice costs $10 pd

No. 276

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F Mandlebaum, Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Childers, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 98.00 Int & attys fee.

J. P. Merry, Attorney for Plaintiff

S G Hinds, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		chg		Pd 2.00
Nov	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	11	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	20	Defendant by S G Hinds files written demurrer	25	
"	24	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing Levy	25	
"	24	Return of attachment on real estate filed	25	
"	25	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Nov 28, 1893 at 1:30 P.M.	50	
"	28	demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	28	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint with permission to answer specially hereafter	25	
Dec	4	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Dec 9, 1893 at 2 P.M. and S G Hinds Atty for Deft notified in writing	50	
"	9	At the time set the plaintiff is present in Court. and there is no appearance on behalf of the defendant. After waiting one full hour from the time set and it appearing that the defendant has been duly notified thereof the trial proceeds in the absence of defendant. H M Johnson is sworn and testifies and the note sued on is offered and received in evidence, from the testimony adduced the Court orders judgment as prayed for to wit: Principal $98.00 Interest $8.65 Attys fee $25.00 & Costs	1 60	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F Mandlebaum and against the defendant W H Childers for the sum of $131.65 and for costs of suit taxed at $54.55	1 00	8 70
		Total judgment $186.20	Costs	
		Done in open Court this 9th Dec 1893		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
	9	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 20	
1894 Jany	2	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

Dec 21, 1893 - Justices Costs $10.00 paid

No. 277

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo W. Beall

Plaintiff

vs.

W A Naland

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 32.00

G L Warlow

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	11		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 3.50
"	11		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11		Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 278

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Davison
Plaintiff

vs.

G B Garbarino and Wm Jones copartners as Garbarino & Jones
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 30—

F. N. Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Ch	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	24	Now comes deft by Jas Gallagher and demurs orally to the Complaint and states that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	24	By consent it is ordered that above demurrer be heard at once	50	
"	24	The demurrer submitted overruled and ordered answer at once	75	
"	24	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint —	25	
Jany	15	On motion of plaintiff trial of above case set for Jany 20, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	20	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. Wm Davison and Frank Borello are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Daniel D Graw, John Morley Wm Jones Jas Maglio and G B Garbarino are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants, The matter is argued and submitted and the court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for	3 75	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff William Davison and against the defendants G B Garbarino and Wm Jones Co-partners as Garbarino & Jones for the sum of $30 00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12 95	1 00	8 75
			Const	2 00
			Witness	2 20
				12 95
		Total judgment $42 95		
		Done in open court this 20th Jany 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	20	Stay of Execution agreed to for 10 days & ordered	50	
"	25	Defendants file Notice of appeal	25	
"	25	Defendants Make and file undertaking on appeal	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	29	Transcript and papers on appeal	1 50	12 25

No. 279

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. Frankel, Plaintiff

vs.

L. C. Quong Wah, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 98 66

S Jackson, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	17		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	P 3 50
"	17		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	28		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	
"	28		If appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 17th day of Nov. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of S Jackson Esq. it is ordered that the default of the said defendant L. C. (Lee Chuey) Quong Wah be and the same is hereby entered	95	
			On further motion of said S Jackson it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff D. Frankel and against the defendant L. C. (Lee Chuey) Quong Wah for the sum of $98 66 and for costs of suit taxed at $9 10	100	6 70
			Total judgment $107 76	Omit	2 40
					9 10

Done in open Court this 28th Nov. 1893,

A Austin

Justice of the Peace.

No. 280

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jans P. Hansen
Plaintiff

vs.

Jens Larsen and H J Jorgensen
Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 250 00

D. M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chq		Pd 250
Nov	17	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	22	Summons returned & filed without service	50	
"	22	Writ of attachment returned & filed without levy	25	

32

No. 281

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas A Schweizer

Plaintiff

vs.

J W Carlile, P. D. Bozeman and W Gates

Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $98.00 & Int

Chas A Schweizer
Attorney for Plaintiff

E A Williams
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Chg			
Nov	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
1894 Jany	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
	29	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Feb	3	Now comes E A Williams & demurs to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
1897 June	16	By consent above demurrer set for hearing this day at 10.45 A.M	50	
"	16	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	16	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	26	On motion of plaintiff trial set for July 3. 1897 at 10 A M	50	
"	28	Notice of above order filed showing personal service	25	
July	3	By consent Trial continued without day	50	
"	13	By consent in open Court Trial set for July 19. 1897 at 2 P.M	50	
"	19	At the time set plaintiff is in Court. There is no appearance on the part of defendants and after waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in defendants absence, Chas A Schweizer is sworn and testifies and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Chas A. Schweizer do have and recover of and from the defendant J W Carlile the sum of $187.18 (Prin 98.00 Int $89.18) and costs herein taxed at $23.00		
		Done in open Court this July 18. 1897. Total judgment $210.18		

	1 00
9	50
13	50
23	—

H. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 282

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas B Lehman, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Gilman, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 24 00

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

?

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS. Costs conditional	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	18		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	18		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	18		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	24		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	24		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	24		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 18th day of Nov. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of Thompson & Prince It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Geo. Gilman be and the same is hereby entered	90	
			On further motion of said Thompson & Prince It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Chas B Lehman and against the defendant Geo Gilman for the sum of $24 00 and for costs of suit taxed at $	100	6 70
			Total judgment $35 70	Const	5 00
					11 70
			Done in open Court this 24th Nov. 1893 — H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Nov	25		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Show	1 25	

No. 283

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G A Events

Plaintiff

vs.

Jesse Irvine and S H Hill

Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $150 - Int & attys fees

O L Events and D. S. Evans

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff demand and costs being paid.		
		J Austin Justice of the Peace		

Paid 2.50

No. 284

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Laures Peder Hansen
Plaintiff

vs.

Jens Anderson
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 60.00

Laures Peder Hansen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	23	The above action compromised in open Court by payment of $25.00 & Costs. 11.70 and on motion of plaintiffs the same is this day dismissed A. Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5.50
"	24	Summons and writ of attachment returned and filed showing service Leon		

No. 285

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A F Roberts, Plaintiff

vs.

P. Howard and E Saddler, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $40 65

A E Snow, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Nov	24	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 250
"	24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	5	It appearing to the court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on each of the said defendants herein on the 29th day of Nov. 1893 and that they not either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of A E Snow Esq It is ordered that the default of the said defendants P. Howard and E Saddler be and the same is hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of A. E. Snow It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A F Roberts and against the defendants P. Howard and E. Saddler for the sum of $40 65 and for costs of suit taxed at $15 30	1 00	6 95
		Total judgment $55 95	Const	8 40
				15 35
		Done in open Court this 5th Dec 1893. H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Dec	5	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Show Const	1 25	

No. 286

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thos P Ryan Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Levi Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 150—

Thos P Ryan
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

No. 287

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Erick Hemstrom, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Wilson alias A. M. Wilson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 1950

Geo W. Jones, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Nov	28		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	28		Affidavit and cash undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28		Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	4		Now comes Geo W. Jones and moves the court for permission to amend his complaint by inserting the name of A. M. Wilson in place of John Doe Wilson as defendant The motion is granted and amendment made by interlineation	50	
"	4		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	4		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant A. M. Wilson at this township on the 28th day of Nov. 1893, and that he has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of G W Jones it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A. M Wilson be and the same is hereby entered	Pd 8 00 in full 12/14/93 95	
			On further motion of said Geo Jones it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Erick Hemstrom and against the defendant A. M. Wilson for the sum of $ 19 50 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 17 20	1 00	7 20
			Total Judgment $ 36 70	Const.	10 00
					17 20
			Done in open Court this 4th Decr 1893. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Dec	4		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	14		Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

No. 288

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ellen Lehmann, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Burner, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $58.00

E A Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Nov	28		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pf 2 50
"	28		Affidavit and undertaking of attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28		Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	4		Now comes Geo W Jones Atty for Deft. and files demand for bill of particulars	25	
"	4		Defendant by Geo W Jones answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	4		On motion of defendant trial of this cause set for Dec 20. 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	4		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	60	
"	4		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	5		Bill of particulars filed by plaintiff	25	

No. 289

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. Sablich, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Wier, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 30.00

N Sablich, Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm Wier, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. Nov 26.94 Off pd 4.00 J.C.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Dec	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
"	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4	Defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	4	Defendant orally sets up counterclaim for $ 15.00	25	
"	4	On motion of defendant trial of cause set for Dec 8. 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	8	At the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds, Nick Sablich & Wm M Begovich is sworn and testifies for plaintiff and Wm Wier is sworn and testifies for defendant and the further hearing continued to Dec 9. 1893 at 1-30 P.M	1 50	
"	9	at the time set all parties are present and hearing is continued, Frank W Hale is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the court finds for plaintiff for $ 26.00 & Costs	1 00	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff N Sablich and against the defendant Wm Wier for the sum of $ 26.00 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 8.10	1 00	6 50
		Total judgment $ 34.10		1 60
				8 10
		Done in open Court this 9th Dec 1893 — A. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Dec	23	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	

No. 290

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ravetti — Plaintiff

vs.

S. T. Viau — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 184 62

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Cfq		
Dec	6	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	6	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 9th day of Dec 1893, and the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer, demurrer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, now on Motion of Thompson & Prince it is ordered that the default of the said defendant S. T. Viau be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of Thompson & Prince it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff R. Ravetti and against the defendant S. T. Viau for the sum of $184 62 and for costs of suit taxed at $11 20	100	6 70 4 50 11 20
		Total judgment $195 82		
		Done in open Court this 15th Dec 1893		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		

11/23/94

No. 291

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. W. Robinson, Plaintiff

vs.

W G Gooding, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 58.10

Events & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25c
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	28	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	28	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	28	Now comes defendant by A. M Drew and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 292

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fred Henry, Plaintiff

vs.

James M Barclay, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 19 80

Fred Henry, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Ch 1		
Dec	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	9	The above action this day dismissed on payment of demand & costs		Pd 5 50
		Hz Austin, Justice of the Peace		

No. 293

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno Fair Ground association a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

James E. Hughes
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 68 85 & Legal Int

Geo L. Warlow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	8	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	

No. 294

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Edwards

Plaintiff

vs.

James M Barclay

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 6 50

Geo Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Dec	8	Cause entered and complaint filed	1	00		

No. 295

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Madsen

Plaintiff

vs.

E Beeson

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 68 75

M. Madsen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		Cha PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	14	Papers returned, and on motion of plaintiff above action is this day dismissed on compromise demand & costs being paid. H Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 3 50 in full 12/14/93.

No. 296

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alex Gordon Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Gordon D. C. Tucker and Willet Gates Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 200 Int & atty fee

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		COSTS. DEFENDANT.	
Dec	12		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1	25	Pd	2 50
Mch	13		Summons returned and filed showing personal service		50		

No. 297.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R J Blachly — Plaintiff

vs.

D. M. Cutts — Defendant

Action Prom note (Balance)

Demand $ 25 Int & attys fees

J G Rhodes — Attorney for Plaintiff

M Walser — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	13	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	13	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	18	Defendant in person answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	25	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause set for Dec 23. '93 at 9-30 a.m.	50	
"	23	At the time set all the parties and their attorneys all in Court and the trial proceeds - R. J. Blochley is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and D. M Cutts is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. Documentary evidence is introduced and the matter is argued by respective counsel and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	2 70	
1894 Jany	3	The Court this day finds for defendant for costs	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant D. M. Cutts and against the plaintiff R. J Blachley for the costs of this action taxed at $10.00	100	9 00
			Court.	3 50
				12 50
				2 50 Pd.
				10 00
		Total Judgment $10.00		
		Done in open Court this 3rd Jany 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
1894 Jany	3	Notice of motion filed by plaintiff	25	

No. 298

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S W Henry
Plaintiff
vs.
J. M. Martni and Roy Martni
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 65 25

S W Henry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec 13 1894		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

No. 299

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F D Watkins, Plaintiff

vs.

Leroy Boyce and Mrs Dora E. Boyce his wife, Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 74 50

Geo W Jones, Attorney for Plaintiff

F Church, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	14	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 3 50
"	14	Affidavit and ~~atta~~ undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
1894 Feby	1	Defendants appear by F. Church and answers orally, denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	1	Motion of defts counsel set for trial Feb 7. 1894 at 10, a m	50	
"	2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	
"	7	at the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, F. D. Watkins, Frank Davis and Mrs C. D. Watkins sworn and testify in behalf of the Plaintiff and, Mary Dimleey, Dora E Boyce and Leroy Boyce are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	3 20	
Feby	26	The Court this day finds for the defendants Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendants Leroy Boyce and Mrs Dora E Boyce and against the plaintiff F D Watkins, for the costs and disbursements of this action taxed at $16 80	28	
			1 00	9 50
			Court	7 30
				16 80

Total judgment $16 80

Done in open Court this 26th Feby 1894

H Austin

Justice of the Peace.

No. 300

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F Roeding
Plaintiff

vs.

Marie Strelinger and M. E. Strelinger her husband
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 125 65

F H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec 15 1894	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

No. 301.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Hockenberry, Plaintiff

vs.

J. L. Welly, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $27 50

E D Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	~~50~~ 25
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 16th day of Dec 1893 and that the said defendant had not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of E D. Edwards it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J L Welly be and the same is hereby entered	11/23/94 95	
Jany 1894	3	On motion of E D Edwards it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. Hockenberry and against the defendant J L. Welly for the sum of $27 50 and for costs of suit taxed at $5 95	100	3 95
		Total judgment $33 45	Const	2 00
		Done in open Court this 3rd Jany 1894		5 95
		A z Austin	Costs Pd. 3 00	
		Justice of the Peace.		
Feby	9	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	9	Execution returned and filed ~~shown~~ wholly unsatisfied		
" 1896	9	Affidavit made & an supplemental proceedings Apr 14 189 and filed	75	
Aug	20	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw Const	1 25	
"	29	Execution returned & filed partly satisfied all costs paid and $19 25 paid on judgment - Bal due $11 20		

No. 302

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Mason

Plaintiff

vs.

The National Spectator Publishing Co a corporation

Defendant

Action a/c (assigned)

Demand $ 110.73

W. R. Mason

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	Pd 2.50
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	22	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	.50	
" 1894	22	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	.25	

No. 303.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Murphy Varnish Co a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

F A Mervin, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $58.00

E A Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
1893		Chg Feb 25.96 Justices Costs pd by Williams		
Dec	19	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
	27	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
1894 Jany	3	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 27th day of Dec. 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days have fully expired, now on motion of E A Williams it is ordered that the default of the said defendant F A. Mervin be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said E A Williams It is ordered that Judgment be entered as prayed for	50	
		Therefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Murphy Varnish Co. a corporation and against the defendant F. A. Mervin for the sum of $58.00 and for costs of suit taxed at 5 95	1 00	$ 5 95
			Const	1 00
		Total Judgment $63 95		5 95

Done in open Court this 3rd day of Jany 1894

H Z Austin

Justice of the Peace.

23/94

No. 304

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank A Serpas
Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel d. Rego, Frank Caito and Joe Caito
Co-partners as Manuel d Rego & Co
Defendant

Action Pim note

Demand $ 180 00 & Int

Frank A Serpas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	19	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 3 50
1894 January	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	

No. 305

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A T Stevens, Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Cardozo, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 85.00

E A Williams et al
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	20	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	22	Summons returned filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	Demurrer (written) filed	25	
1894 Jany	4	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Jany 6. 1894 at 9-30	50	
"	6	Above demurrer argued submitted & overruled & 3 days to Ans	75	
"	9	defendant files written answer	25	
"	9	On motion of defendant the Court makes order that plaintiff seive bill of particulars & exhibit original account	3 50 2 00 5 50	
"	10	Bill of items filed by plaintiff	25	
"	10	Defendant files affidavit and moves the Court for an order transferring this cause to some other justice. The motion is taken under advisement	50	
"	13	The Court this day grants the motion for change of venue and orders the cause transferred to the Court of Justice A H Cummings Justice of the Peace of the 7th Township this County and state H Austin Justice of the Peace 3rd Township		

No. 306

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J W Crow, Plaintiff

vs.

J G James, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 40.00

A. M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec	30		Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
1894 Jan	2		Plaintiff's receipt filed & case this day dismissed costs being paid Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 2 50 in full

No. 307

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W M Williams, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Todd and J F Todd, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 56.00 Int & Atty fee

Strother & Strother
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	22	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	22	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	27	It appearing to the Court that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant J W Todd at this township on the 20th day of Dec 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired, now on motion of Strother & Strother It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J.W. Todd be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Strother & Strother It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W.M. Williams and against the defendant J W. Todd for the sum of $90 94 {Principal $56.00 Interest $14 94 Atty fees $10.00} and for costs of suit taxed at $15.00	1 00	6 70
		Total judgment $95 94		8 30
				15 00
		Done in open Court this 27th Dec 1893		
		A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	27	Assignment of above judgment To Chase Schweizer made & filed by W.M. Williams		
"	27	Judgment credited with $70 29 {all costs $55 29 paid on demand}		

No. 308

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D W Lewis, Plaintiff

vs.

A C Wilkinson, Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $200

Evans & Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

A. M Drew, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
1894 Jany	4	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	8	Defendant by A M. Drew files written demurrer	25	
"	10	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Jany 13, 1894 2 P. M	50	
"	13	Demurrer argued submitted overruled & days given to answer	75	
"	16	Written answer filed	25	
"	22	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jany 26, 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	26	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds F W Howard & D W. Lewis are sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and the plaintiffs case is announced closed whereupon the defendant moves the Court for nonsuit on the following grounds viz: 1st That the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action 2nd That the facts proved are insufficient to entitle plaintiff to recover & 3rd That the contract sued on is void on its face The motion is argued and submitted on briefs	1 25	
April	7	The Court this day grants the motion for a nonsuit and orders Judgment for Defendant for costs.	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant A C Wilkinson and against the plaintiff D. W. Lewis for the costs of this action taxed at $9 10	1 00	6 50 / 2 60 / 9 10
		Judgment $9 10		
		Done in open Court this 7th April 1894		
		A Z Averstein, Justice of the Peace		

No. 309

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. S. Lowry and J. W. Brown

Plaintiff

vs.

F. C. Lyon and W. A. Carruthers

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $131.00 Int & attyfees

Geo L. Warlow

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Dec	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25.00
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 50	
	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
1894 Jany	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	3	Writ of attachment returned & filed without service	25	
"	9	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant F. C. Lyon at the 3rd Township this County and State on the 28th day of Dec. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days has fully expired Now on motion of Geo L. Warlow it is ordered that the default of the said defendant F. C. Lyon be and the same hereby is entered	95	
		On further motion of said Warlow it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs J. S. Lowry and J. W. Brown and against the defendant F. C. Lyon for the sum of $156.65 (Principal $131.00 Interest 65/100 & attys fees $25.00) and for costs of suit taxed at $12.10	100	6.70 / 5.40 / 12.10
		Total judgment $168.75		
		Done in open Court this 9th day of Jany 1894 W. A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Jany 15		The above judgment credited with $150.00 (all costs & $137.90 pd on demand)		

No. 310

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R J Blochley

Plaintiff

vs.

Eugene F Branch

Defendant

Action Prom note & a/c

Demand $ 14

J G Rhodes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		
Dec	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
1894 Jany	9	Plaintiff moves the Court for permission to file amended complaint, permission granted and amended complaint filed	75	
"	9	Summons issued on amended complaint		
"	15	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	

No. 311

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G W Johnson, Plaintiff

vs.

R. B. Shipp, Defendant

Action 2 Prom Notes

Demand $207 Int & $25 attys fee

J E Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	28	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
1894 Jany	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned & filed no levy	25	
"	9	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 3rd day of Jany 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of J.E. Doolittle it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R B Shipp be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said ~~R B S~~ J.E. Doolittle it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G W Johnston and against the defendant for the sum of $296.60 {Principal $207.00 Interest $64.60 Atty fee, $25.00} and for costs of suit herein taxed $ 9.60		
		Total judgment $306.20		
		Done in open Court this 9th Jany 1894		
		S Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
1896		Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
June	5	Execution issued & delivered to Walser	1 25	
"	5	Affidavit for Order Examination of Judg. Debtor & Bailee made & order issued for Exam. June 16. 1896 at 9 a.m.	1 00	
"	12	Above affidavits & orders filed & served	50	

100 670 cost 290 960

No. 312

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Alford Coffman Co a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Wilworth

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 130

B T Alford

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Dec 29		Cause entered complaint filed and warrant issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 29		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 29 1894		Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 313

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. M. H. Kaarsberg, Plaintiff

vs.

Charles H. Fisk, Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $77.00 & attys fees

D. M. Sealon, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Dec	30	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	—
"	30	Writ of Attachment issued	50	
1894 Jan	4	The above action is this day dismissed on compromise by order of plffs atty Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5 00
Mch	27	Writ of attachment returned & filed		
		C. P. Iverson vs B C Wells } From pg 66.		
		and is sworn and examined. The Evidence being closed the matter is argued by Counsel and submitted and the Court not being fully advised takes the matter under advisement		
Dec	12	The Court this day finds that at the time of the service of the garnishment on W. M. Snow herein, to wit on the 20th day of Feby 1894, that said W M Snow and A A Snow were indebted to B. C. Wells in the sum of $350—, It is here ordered that the said W M Snow and A A Snow pay into this Court in satisfaction of the judgment herein the sum of $307 30 with legal interest thereon from Jany 19. 1894, together with $11 35 accrued costs herein, Total $318 65 Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		14 70 —
1896	14	Assignment of judgment to Minna Dawson filed		
Sept	21	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day Hz. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 314

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W D Bowen

Plaintiff

vs.

L. J. Miller and S Waldenberg

Defendants

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 825 & Int

W D Bowen

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Ch.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2.50
Jany	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	13	Summons and writ of attachment returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	18	Now comes E D Edwards and answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint & the defendant S Waldenberg avers that he has been released by pltff.	50	
"	19	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Jany 24, 1894 at 9-30 a.m.	50	
"	24	at the time set the plaintiff is in Court, there being no appearance on behalf of the defendants, and after waiting one full hour and it appearing that due notice in writing of the time of trial was served on the said defendants, the plaintiff now proceeds to try this cause, W D Bowen is sworn and testifies and the note sued on is introduced in evidence. The matter is submitted and the court orders judgment as prayed for,	1 00	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. D. Bowen and against the defendants L. J. Miller and S. Waldenberg for the sum of twenty seven & 55/100 (Principal $25.00 Interest $2.55) and for costs of suit taxed at $10.60	1 00	7 50
			Court	3 10
				10.60
		Total judgment $38.15		
		Done in open Court Jany 24, 1894		
		H. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
"	24	Now comes S Waldenberg, surety and pays the full amount of the judgment and in open Court serves and files notice of his payment of same and his claim of re-payment from the defendant L. J. Miller, and It is here ordered and adjudged and decreed that the said S. Waldenberg be entitled to and substituted as being entitled to the benefit of the judgment against the said L. J. Miller.		

No. 315

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. P. Ivasen — Plaintiff

vs.

B. C. Wells — Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $255 Int & attys fees

D. M. Sealon — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Chg		
Jany	3	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	12	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
	12	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
	19	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 12th Jany 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days, has fully expired, Now on motion of D. M. Sealon it is adjudged that the default of the said defendant B. C. Wells be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said Sealon it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. P. Ivasen and against the defendant B. C. Wells, for the sum of $296.10 (Principal $255, Interest, $16.10 attys fee $25.00) and for costs of suit taxed at $11.20	1 00	Costs 6 70 / 4 50 / 11 20
		Total Judgment $307.30		
		Done in open Court this 19th Jany 1894.		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace.		
Feb	5	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 20	
"	8	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
"	19	Affid and & Order for examination Feb 23. 1894 at 10 A M made & filed	75	
"	20	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 20	
Apr	19	Execution Renewed		
June	19	Execution Renewed		
Aug	18	Execution Renewed		
Sept	4	Execution returned & filed		

Supplementary proceeding made
Nov 5. 9. 1895 - Affidavit & order supplementary proceeding "filed" ""
" 11 1895 - Affidavit and order Examine Court and summons issued
Furnishe W M Snow in Court
Jas Brosnan assignee of B C Wells is also in Court.

See pg 64

No. 316

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. L. Everts, Plaintiff

vs.

C. L. Morrill, Defendant

Action on Bill of Exchange

Demand $34.15

D S Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				PD 2.50
Jany	3.	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1.25	
"	12	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	17	Defendant by A.E. Snow demurs to plaintiffs complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
1895 May	27	By consent hearing of above demurrer set for this day at 2. P.M.		
"	27	The above demurrer is submitted overruled and defendant ordered to answer at once and notice waived		
"	27	The demurrer of defendant to plaintiffs complaint having been this day overruled and defendant ordered to answer at once and said defendant having failed to answer at once as ordered, Now on motion of D S Ewing it is ordered that the default of the said defendant C. L. Morrill be and the same is hereby entered -		
		On further motion of said Ewing it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff O. L. Everts and against the defendant C. L. Morrill for the sum of $34.15 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.20		
		Total judgment $40.35		
		Done in open Court this 27th day of May 1895		
		A Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	27	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1.25	
"	28	Affidavit Supp filed & order made	50	

No. 317.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. Dickey
Plaintiff

vs.

Isaac Lyon & S. M. Baboyan
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 75-0

W. J. Dickey
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Janry	4	Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Ds 2 50
"	5	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	8	The defendant Isaac Lyon demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	22	The above action is this day dismissed demand & all costs being paid H Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 318

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Low Kine, Plaintiff

vs.

J. T. Shanklin and G. Frank Abbott, Defendant

Action on Bond

Demand $825.00

Ed Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Cha		Of 2.50
Jany	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
April	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.50	
"	17	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally seived on the defendant J T Shanklin at this Township on the 12th day of Jany 1894 and on the defendant G. Frank Abbott at this Township on the 10th day of April 1894 and that neither of the said defendants have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Ed. D. Edwards Esq it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants J T Shanklin and G. Frank Abbott be and the same are hereby entered	1.15	
		On further motion of said Ed D Edwards it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	.50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Low Kine and against the defendants J T Shanklin and G. Frank Abbott for the sum of $825.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.35	1.00	4.35
				Const 4.00
				8.80
		Total Judgment $ 90.85		
		Done in open Court this 17th April 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

70

No. 319

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. M. Hayden

Plaintiff

vs.

L. E. Walker

Defendant

Action Prom note & a/c

Demand $ 132 61 Attys fees &c

Events & Ewing

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	5	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	5	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 95	
"	5	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	6	The above action is this day dismissed on compromise costs paid		Pd 3 50 refund
		Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 320-

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Simon Evinger

Plaintiff

vs.

N. L. F. Bachman

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 130.00

Strother & Strother
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Cha 1846. Feb 6. Justices Costs paid by plaintiff		
Jany	6	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.00
"	6	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	11	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	18	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 11th day of Jany 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Strother & Strother it is ordered that the default of the said defendant N. L. F. Bachman be and the same is hereby entered.	90	
		On further motion of said Strother & Strother it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Simon Evinger and against the defendant N. L. F. Bachman for the sum $130.00 & for costs of suit herein taxed at $12.00	100	6 50
			Const.	5 50
				12 00
		Total judgment $142.00		
		Done in open Court this 8th Jany 1894		
		H. Z. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

11/23/94

No. 321

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Bank of Fresno a corporation Plaintiff

vs.

F Shutler Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $110 Int & $35 attys fee

H C Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	6	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	6	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	The above cause is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff costs being paid. Show H Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5 50
		Modena vs Turnbull } From pg 74.		
			13 00	
		submitted and by the Court denied & Exception noted	75	
		The note sued on is offered in evidence objected to by defendant on legal grounds objection overruled & Exception and note filed in evidence.	1 00	
		Antone Modena is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and John Turnbull is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. At the Close of the testimony the defendant moves for judgment for costs because note sued on is not secured & the indebtedness has passed through insolvency proceedings and was provable therein. The motion is denied and Exception noted		
		and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for	1 50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Antone Modena and against the defendant John Turnbull for the sum of $222 92 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $50 50	1 00	17 20
			const	10 50
				27 70
		Total judgment $273 42	witness	22 80
				$50 50
		Done in open Court this 14th May 1894 H Austin Justice of the Peace		
May	16	Stipulation and memorandum of costs filed	50	
1897	16	The above judgment is credited with $122 92 amt paid	25	
June	7	Execution issued & delivered to Chief	1 25	
"	10	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

Nov. 26. $35.00 paid by Pltff.

No. 322

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J F McKinney
Plaintiff

vs.

The Fresno Flume and Irrigation Co., a corporation
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 28 40

A M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

L L Coy & L W Moultrie
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	6		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	6		Summons returned filed showing personal service	50	
"	11		Defendant by L W Moultrie files written demurrer	25	
"	11		On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Jan 13, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	13		Above demurrer submitted overruled & 3 days to answer	75	
"	16		Defendant answers, denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	23		The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff demand and all costs being paid H Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 4 50

No. 323.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Antone Modena, Plaintiff

vs.

John Turnbull, Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $190 — & Int

Thompson & Prince, Attorney for Plaintiff

A. M. Drew, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		
Jany	8	Order allowing suit filed	20 —	
"	8	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25 —	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75 —	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feb	20	Notice of motion to issue attachment filed & hearing continued to Feb. 23, 1893 at 1-30 P. M.	75 —	
"	23	The above motion by consent of attorneys argued submitted and denied	50	
Mch	7	Defendant by A. M. Drew files written demurrer	25 —	
"	9	Affidavit and notice of motion to discharge attachment filed	25 —	
"	9	Motion argued submitted and taken under advisement	50	
"	9	Above demurrer argued submitted, overruled and answer ordered at once	75 —	
"	9	The defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	25 —	
"	26	Motion to discharge attachment denied	25 —	
"	27	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Apr 4. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
Apr	4	On motion of defendant above trial continued to Apr 10. 1894 at 2. P. M	50	
"	10	On motion of defendant above trial continued to Apr 18. 1894 at 9. A M	50	
"	18	On motion of defendant trial continued to Apr 24. 1894 at 1. P. M	50	
"	18	The parties in Court and defendant files affidavit and moves the Court for continuance, motion argued & submitted and cause continued to Apr 24. 1894 at. 10 A. M, on condition that before further proceeding the costs of the continuance to wit: 3.70 be paid by deft.	75 —	10 00
"	24	Writ of prohibition served and trial continued without day	50	
May	8	On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial May 9 1894 at 2. P. M	50	
"	9	Notice of fixing trial filed showing service on Deft.	25 —	
"	9	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25 —	
"	9	On motion of defendant trial continued to May 14, 1894 at 2. P. M on payment of costs of plaintiff on continuance, to wit; 3.70	50	
"	14	Defendant moves the Court for leave to file amended answer The motion is granted and answer and affidavit for change of venue filed	1 00	
"	14	At the time set all parties are in Court and the defendant moves to dismiss on the ground that this Court has no jurisdiction of the subject matter on affidavit filed and of L. Turnbull also filed, The motion is argued		

13 00

No. 324

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. L. Dias, Plaintiff

vs.

M. R. Mendonca, Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 299—

H. C. Tupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		
Jany	9	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	Defendant by S. R. Hart demurs orally to the complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	16	Above demurrer set for hearing this day at 2 P.M. on motion of plaintiff	50	
"	16	Demurrer argued submitted & overruled & 2 days to answer	50	
"	17	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	25	

No. 825

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Patte & Lett Company a partnership composed of G B Patten W. P. Lett, H. R. Greene, G. O. Perley and C. A. Ludlow
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doyle
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 265 66

M. Farley & J. E. Doolittle
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. C. Tupper
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				P/250
Jany	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	11	H. C. Tupper appears as attorney for Defendant	25	
"	12	The defendant (by his attorney) with M. Farley Atty for Pltff, in Court and said Farley waives notice of application for order to discharge the attachment herein and consents that application be heard at once	50	
"	12	Defendant moves the Court to fix the amount of the bond and the same is fixed at $350 00 and orders that the attachment levied herein be released on the filing of such bond.	50	
"	12	The defendant files bond with waiver of justification endorsed thereon by plaintiffs Attorneys and the Court orders the the attachment herein discharged and all property held thereunder be released from levy	50	
"	12	Summons returned & filed without service	25	
"	12	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	13	Defendant files written demurrer	25	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for Jany 16. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	16	At the time set the demurrer is argued and sustained, and by consent of Counsel the complaint is amended by interlineation, viz: inserting the numbers "$252 48" in stead of "$265 66" amount claimed therein the original to be considered amended complaint	50	
"	17	Answer filed by defendant	25	
		Subpoena for witness issued for		
Feb	6	Jury trial demanded by deft and venire issued	50	
"	6	On motion of defendant venire recalled & filed	75	
"	6	On motion of plaintiff trial continued to Feb 10. 1894 at 9 a. m.	50	
"	6	Defendant moves the Court for leave to file amended answer The motion is granted and leave given & Amended Answer filed	75	P/ 10 50 in full
"	10	By consent above trial continued without day	50	
"	28	On motion of Geo E Church and payment of costs this action is this day dismissed without prejudice and Bond given on release of attachment exonerated		

A. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 326

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Pedro Ireberry

Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Dickey and A F Hoffman

Defendant

Action on Bond

Demand $ 287 30

James Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

H H Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. 32 PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Jany	11	Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	16	W J Dickey appears by H H Welsh & demurs orally to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	23.	On motion of pltff above demurrer set for hearing Jany 24, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	29	Now comes H H Welsh for A F Hoffman and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Feby	2	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed & costs paid. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace	Pd 3 20 in full	

78

No. 327

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Star King Church, Plaintiff

vs.

M.J. Church, A. Carey, G.A. Everts, D. Graham Whidden, Samuel Jackson, J.W. Ferguson and H.A. Hornlein, Defendants

Action Contract

Demand $ 296 95

G.C. Freman, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	12	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	13	Summons (second) issued	50	
"	20	The two summonses returned filed showing personal service	50	
"	29	Stipulation filed	25	
1894 Feby	1	Demurrer filed	25	
"	14	Defendant by O.L. Everts answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint for defendants Everts & M.J. Church	50	
April	24	On motion of plaintiff demurrer of Jackson Ferguson and Carey set for hearing April 27. 1894 at 10 a.m.	30	
"	27	Above demurrer argued submitted, and overruled & 3 days to answer	75	
"	30	The defendants Jackson Ferguson & Carey answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
May	21	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for June 2. 1894 at 10 a.m.	50	
"	21	Notice of setting above cause for trial filed & served	25	
"	21	Subpoena for two witnesses issued for Plff.	50	
June	2	On motion of plaintiff above action is dismissed as to H.A. Hornlein	50	
"	2	At the time & all parties are present and the trial proceeds. Documentary evidence is introduced and L.R. Williams, D.G. Whidden, and Star King Church are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff whereupon further proceedings here are continued to June 12. 1894, at 3 P.m.		
"	12	At the time set D.G. Whidden is recalled for plaintiff and testifies. The defendants offer no testimony and the cause is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	350	
July	14	The court this day finds for plaintiff & orders judgment as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Star King Church and against the defendants, Church Carey, Everts, Whidden, Jackson and Ferguson, as follows, viz:		
		Against the defendant M.J. Church for $14 84/47 and $2 78/81 costs of suit	100	
		Against the defendant A. Carey for $14 85 and $2 79 costs of suit	100	
		Against the defendant G.A. Everts for $7 43 and $1 33 costs of suit	100	
		Against the defendant D. Graham Whidden for $14 85 and $2 79 costs of suit	100	
		Against the defendant Samuel Jackson for $14 85 and $2 79 costs of suit	100	
		Against the defendant J.W. Ferguson for $14 85 and $2 79 costs of suit	100	16 50
			Const	17 40
			Witness	6 40
				40 30

Done in open Court this 14th July 1894

N.Z. Austin

Justice of the Peace

Total 255.30

Transcript filed in Clerk's Office Jan 27. 1896

1896 Oct 7 Execution issued against M.J. Church & delivered to Freman 1 25

No. 328

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. J. Thorne, Plaintiff

vs.

Frederick Knoblock, Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 299.90

J. C. Deal, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Chg			
Jany	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	20	Defendant by E. S. Van Meter files written answer	25	
"	22	By agreement this cause set for trial Jany 25, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	22	Subpoena for 7 witnesses issued for plaintiff	1 75	
"	22	Plaintiff demands a trial by Jury	25	
"	22	Venire for 15 jurors issued	25	
"	25	Above venire and subpoena returned and filed	50	
"	25	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. J. H. Hunt, A. R. Brooks, S. B. Jones, Wm Shields and M. Rorphuro are sworn as jurors to try the cause, A. J. Thorne, Pearl Grady, A. G. Deardorff, A. N. Grady James Plyler, John C. Deal and Mrs M Coates, are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and, S. A. Davis Elizabeth Winnemute, Daniel Liegringer, Mary Brinager F. Knoblock Carrie Knoblock, Lottie Knoblock Annie E. Knoblock and Florence Gilman are sworn and testify for defendant, The matter is argued and submitted to the jury. The officer is sworn and the jury retire and afterwards return into court with the following verdict: "Fresno Cal Jany 25. 1894. We the jury find for defendant Maurice Rorphuro Foreman"	8 75	
		Wherefore by reason of the verdict aforesaid Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Frederick Knoblock and against the plaintiff A. J. Thorne for the costs of this action taxed at. $62.60		
		Done in open Court this 25th Jany 1894		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		

100	15 00
Const	15 00
Jury	15 00
Witnesses	17 60
	62 60

No. 329

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Thomas, Plaintiff

vs.

J W Crow, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 70-

Attorney for Plaintiff

A. M. Drew

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	15		Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 3 50
"	29		Now comes defendant by A. M. Drew and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Mch	6		Summons returned and filed	25	
May	25		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing May 26. 94 at 9 30 a m	50	
"	25		Notice of above order filed showing service	25	
"	26		Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days to Answer	75	
"	28		Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
June	25		A. M Drew Attorney in open Court consents and the trial of this cause is set for this day at 2 P. M.	50	
"	25		at the time set the plaintiff is represented in Court, there being no appearance on behalf of the defendant. After waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in defendants absence. The promissory note sued on is offered and filed in evidence and Wm Shaw is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff. The matter is submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for	75	
			Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. R. Thomas and against the defendant J W Crow for the sum of $18 78 (Principal $10 00 Interest 78/100) and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $ 8 00	1 00	6 00
					Comat 2 00
					8 00
			Total Judgment $ 18 78		
			Done in open Court this 26th June 1894		
			H Austin		
			Justice of the Peace		
"	25				

11/23/94

Jan 11, 1896 Justices fees paid by plaintiff (Crook)

No. 330

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Cerini, Plaintiff

vs.

S.B. Tombs, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 127 50

Geo L Warlow
Attorney for Plaintiff

S J Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

Mch 31. Pltff pd $5 50 a/c costs
Apr 17 " " 2 50
8 00

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	16	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	16	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	22	Defendant demurs to plaintiffs complaint orally and says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Feby	6	Motion of pltff. above demurrer set for hearing Feb 8, 1894 at 10 9 m	50	
"	8	The demurrer submitted overruled & no answer ordered at once	75	
"	8	The defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	50	
Mch	31	On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial ~~mch~~ Apr 17, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
Apr	9	Notice of setting cause for trial filed showing service	25	
"	17	At the time set plaintiff is in Court, No appearance for defendant. After waiting one full hour and it appearing to the Court that written notice of the time of the trial herein was served on the Attorney for defendant the trial proceeds in defendants absence, John Cerini is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and from the testimony adduced the Court orders judgment as prayed for	75	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Cerini and against the defendant S B Tombs for the sum of $127 50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 17 30	1 00	9 00
			Const	8 30
				17 30

Total Judgment $147 80

Done in open Court this 17th April 1894

H z Austin
Justice of the Peace

Costs to date $16 30 paid by Plaintiff Apr 17. 94

No. 331

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Radin and A Kamp, Co-partners as Radin & Kamp
Plaintiff

vs.

S. J. Trimble
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 28 30

E A Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany 17		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 25

No. 332

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Butts, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Lyons, Defendant

Action a/c Labor

Demand $ 100.00

Everts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

S. S. Wright
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany 17	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 29	Now comes S. S. Wright and demurs orally and says the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
" 30	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Feby 1, 1894 at 10 9 m	50	
Feby 1	The demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
" 1	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	25	
" 21	Summons returned & filed	25	
" 21	Writ of attachment returned and filed	25	
" 23	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Feb 26, 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
" 23	Notice of setting filed showing personal service	25	
" 26	at the time set all parties and their attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds, J. W. Butts is sworn and testifies in his own behalf, and the defendant offers no testimony, The Matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. W. Butts and against the defendant Frank Lyons for the sum of $100.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $19.35 Total judgment $119.35 Done in open Court this 26th Feby 1894 A. Austin Justice of the Peace	11/23/94	
		Costs 5 95 / 13 40 / 19 35	
Mch 1	Execution issued & delivered to O. L. Everts - Const	1 25	
May 1	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
Oct 2	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Show Constable	1 25	

No. 333

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E E Giddings, Plaintiff

vs.

D. L. Wright, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 299 + Int

E E Giddings
Attorney for Plaintiff

O L Abbott
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg O.L.A.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	20	Cause entered & complaint filed	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	20	Defendant by O L Abbott files written demurer	25	
"	20	By consent of counsel in open Court the demurer is set for hearing at once	50	
"	20	The demurer argued submitted and overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	20	Defendant refuses to answer, and it appearing to the Court from the papers and files herein that he is in Court and after being so ordered to appear and answer at once he fails so to do, " and on motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant D. L. Wright be and the same is hereby entered	1 75	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. E. Giddings and against the defendant D. L. Wright for the sum of $299.00 Principal, $12.05 Interest and for costs of suit taxed at $6.50	1 00	6 50
		Total judgment $317.55		
		Done in open Court this 20th Jany 1894		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	20	Execution issued & sent to O L Abbott	1 25	

No. 334

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John C Deuel

Plaintiff

vs.

Jackson Gordon & James Gordon Copartners as Gordon Bros

Defendant

Action 2 P.M. & a/c

Demand $57 30

John C Deuel
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	22	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants Jackson Gordon and James Gordon at this township on the 23rd day of Jany 1894 and that the said defendants nor either of them have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired Now on motion of John C Deuel it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Jackson Gordon and James Gordon be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
"	30	On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John C. Deuel and against the defendants Jackson Gordon and James Gordon, Co-partners as Gordon Brothers for the sum of $58 24 (Principal $57 30, Interest $94/100) and for costs of suit taxed at $11 50	100	420
		Total Judgment $69 24	Const	2 30
		Done in open Court this 30th Jany 1894		11 50
		A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
April	6	The above Judgment is satisfied in full by payment John C. Deuel		

Attest A Z Austin
J.P.

No. 335

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. Mandlebaum, Plaintiff

vs.

R. J. Simington, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $161 42

J. P. Meux, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 23		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 31		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Feby 27		It appearing to the Court from the return attached to the original summons herein, that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint and the Clerks certificate was personally served on the defendant at the County of Tulare, State of California on the 29th day of Jany 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired, now on motion of J. P. Meux Esq it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R. J. Simington be and the same is hereby entered	11/27/94	
			95	
		On further motion of said Meux it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. Mandlebaum and against the defendant R. J. Simington for the sum of $208 63 (Principal $161 42 interest $17 21 Atty fee $30 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $9 00	1 00 cost	4 20 4 80 9 00
		Total judgment $217 63		
		Done in open Court this 27th Feby 1894 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Mch	1	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to O Scribner	50	

No. 336

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Stock, Plaintiff

vs.

Bernhard Speh, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $200 attys fees & Int.

M. Farley, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189X	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
January	25	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	25	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	25	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	26	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	26	Plaintiff files petition & moves the Court for an order to sell the property attached herein as perishable property	50	
"	26	Motion granted and written order made & delivered to Const.	50	
February	2	Order of sale returned and filed showing proceedings	25	
March	8	Affidavit for order of publication of summons made & filed	50	
"	8	Order of publication of summons made & filed	50	
"	5	two laborers claims filed	50	
"	16	One laborers claim filed	25	
May	28	proof of publication and mailing made & filed	75	
June	14	It appearing to the Court from the records & files in this cause that the summons herein has been served by publication in the manner prescribed by law and the order of this court, and the legal time for the appearance of the defendant from the completion of said service to wit; twenty days has fully expired now on motion of M Farley it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Bernhard Speh be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said M Farley it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Therefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Joseph Stock, and against the defendant Bernhard Speh for the sum of $237.00 (Principal 200 - Interest $12.00 Attys fee $20.00) and for costs of suit taxed at $58.25		
		Total Judgment $295.25		
		Done in open Court this 14th June 1894		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	14	The above judgment is credited with $188.20 All costs & $130.65 pd on demand		

Const 100 | 9 75 | 38 50 pd.

Printing | 48 25 | 10 00 | 58 25

Received $143.10 upon this judgment
Mo Farley
Atty for Plff.

No. 337

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. K. Washburne
Plaintiff

vs.

B Spek
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $20 25

Strother & Strother
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	25	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	25	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	25	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feby	3	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff in person costs being paid		Pd 3 00 in full
		H z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 338

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. T. Lowry
Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Peck and G. N. Allen
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $66.25 + Costs & Atty fees

Geo L Warlow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	29	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	29	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	29	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	31	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff in person demand and all costs paid		Pd 50
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 339

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S B Henderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo B Passon and Helen E. Passon

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 37 50

S. B. Henderson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 1		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 1		The above action is this day dismissed, Demand & all costs being paid A J Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 1 50 in full

No. 340

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kutner Goldstein Co a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

T. E. Jones
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 25 87

H H Welch
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2 50
Feby	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	2	Attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	8	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 2nd day of Feby 1894, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of H H Welch It is ordered that the default of the said defendant T. E. Jones be and the same is hereby entered		Default
		On further motion of said Welch it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	95	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Kutner Goldstein & Co. a corporation and against the defendant T. E. Jones for the sum of	50	

No. 341

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kutner Goldstein Co. a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

C. G. Sayle
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $102.50 Int & attys fee

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

E A Williams
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	6	Defendant by E A Williams demurs orally to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Feb 27, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	20	Notice of setting demurrer filed showing service	25	
"	24	The demurrer submitted overruled & 5 days given to answer	75	
"	28	and stipulation filed, E A Williams in Court and waives notice	25	
Mch	1	It appearing to the Court that the defendant has not answered within the time ordered, and the full time allowed by the Court having expired, and on the stipulation heretofore filed, and on application of H H Welsh it is ordered that the default of the said defendant C. G. Sayle be and the same is hereby entered	75	Justices fees pd by plaintiff
		On further motion of H H Welsh it is ordered that judgment be entered in accordance with the said stipulation	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiff Kutner Goldstein Company, a corporation and against the defendant C. G. Sayle for the sum of $126.94 {Principal $102.00 Interest $4.44 & attorneys fee $20.00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $9.25	1 00 Const	6 25 3 00 9 25
		Total Judgment $136.19		
		Done in open Court this 1st Mch. 1894 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
		Transcript of judgment and recording	1 00	

No. 342

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B T Alford & J W Rogers co-partners as Alford & Rogers, Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $

B T Alford
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Feb 8. $3.00 costs pd by Plfff.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	2	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	2	affidavit and cash bond made & filed	1 75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 343

In the justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. C. Gardner — Plaintiff

vs.

F B Jones — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 23.05

E A Williams — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	2		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7		Defendant by C. C. Merriam files written answer	25	
Mch	28		On motion of plaintiff trial set for Apl 3. 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	28		Notice of above order filed showing service	25	

No. 344

In the justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Robert Wight
Plaintiff

vs.

E J Hill
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 115.50

Geo L Walcow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 2		Consentered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
" 8		Summons returned filed.		
" 8		The above action is this day dismissed by order of plaintiffs attorney & costs Pd H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 2.00 in full

No. 345

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Eli Henderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas J Patterson, Belle R. Patterson and W Dale Rose

Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $100 Int & attys fees

Eli Henderson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 1895	2	Cause entered & complaint filed	1 00	Pd 1 00
Jany	21	Summons issued and delivered to Eli Henderson	50	
	31	Summons returned & filed served on all defendants	50	
Feby	23	It appearing to the Court from the verified return attached to the original summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on each of the said defendants at and in the County of Fresno State of California on the following dates viz: On Thomas J Patterson Jany 28, 1895 — On Belle R. Patterson on Jany 26. 1895 and on W. Dale Rose on Jany 28, 1895 and it further appearing that the said defendants nor either of them has appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of Eli Henderson It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Thomas J Patterson, Belle R. Patterson and W. Dale Rose be and the same are hereby entered	Pltff pd 5 20 J Costs	
			1 70	
		On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Eli Henderson and against the defendants Thomas J Patterson Belle R. Patterson and W. Dale Rose for the sum of $177 50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $20 50	1 00	
		Total judgment $198 00		
		Done in open Court this 23rd Feby 1895		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

Prin 100
Int 77 50
177 50

5 20
15 30
20 50

The within judgment is satisfied in full this 31st day of May 1895 — Eli Henderson

No. 3 X 6

In the justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

K. Sugihara
Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Martin
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $48.20

K. Sugihara
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. M. Martin in proper.
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chg		
Feby	2	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 5
"	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	6	The defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	

No. 347.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Eugene Sadler — Plaintiff

vs.

L. W. Haycraft — Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $21.50 Int & Atty fees

J. G. Rhodes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.
	Chq				
Feby	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1	25	Pf 2.50
April	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service		50	

No. 348

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jens Anderson, Plaintiff

vs.

John Hartley, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 67.00

Jens Anderson, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Van Meter, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Cha PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Feby	6	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	6	Affidavit and cash undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	10	Written demurrer filed by E. S. Van Meter	25	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Feb 16, 1894 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	13	Notice of setting demurrer filed served	25	
"	16	Demurrer argued submitted & sustained 2 days to amend	75	
"	16	Plaintiff files amended complaint	25	
"	16	The defendant answers amended complaint orally denying each and every allegation ~~in the complaint~~ therein contained	25	
"	23	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Feb 28, 1894 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	28	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds Jens Anderson and Jess Nielson are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and John Hartley, Hamena Hartley James Hartley, Jacob Hartley, J B Williams & A Runswick are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	4 75	
Mch	5	The Court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for	25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jens Anderson and against the defendant John Hartley for the sum of $67.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $23.60	1 00	13 00
		Total judgment $90.60	comit.	7 80
		Done in open Court this 5th March 1894		20 80
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace	writ	2 80
				23 60
"	14	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant	1 25	
"	14	Notice of appeal filed by defendant	25	
		Transcript and papers on appeal.	1 50	

No. 349

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M. Church and Geo F Church
Plaintiff

vs.

W B Bridges
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 21 45

D S. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	8	The above action is this day dismissed the demand & all costs being paid into Court		Pd 5 00
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
		Walters Bros & Co vs D. Jauregui berry } From page 101		
			7 45	
		and adjudged that the said Domingo Jauregui berry do have and recover judgment of and from said Broadway Insurance Company of New York for the use of the said Henry Walters and George Walters Co-partners as Walters Brothers & Co in the sum of $287 30 being the amount of judgment and costs in the action first above named and for the costs of this proceeding taxed at 2 00 and that plaintiff have execution therefor	2 00	
		Total Judgment $289 30		
		Done in open Court this Mch 22. 1894 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Mch	22	Execution against D Jauregui berry issued to Wm Show Const	1 25	
May	12	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
"	12	Affidavit & notice of motion to set aside judgment filed by Bull & Cleary on behalf of Broadway Insurance Co	75	
"	12	At the time set in the notice of Motion the Attorneys are present in Court Geo. B. Graham objects to the hearing of the motion for the reason that the Court has no jurisdiction to hear it. (the objection is taken under advisement and) The motion is argued submitted and by the Court denied	50	

No. 349

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Walters and George Walters co-partners as Walters Brothers and Company
Plaintiff

vs.

Domingo Jauregiuberry
Defendant

Action Promissory
115 + 117 Front St San Fran
Demand $215 Int + attys fee
Frank H Short and Geo B Graham Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Chg Justices fees pd by plaintiff Apr 14, 1896		
Feb	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed.	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	8	~~Alias~~ Writ of attachment issued to City & Co of San Fran.	50	
Mch	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	Writ of attachment returned and filed	25	
"	21	~~Alias~~ Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy in San Fran	25	
"	21	Dr appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 14th day of March 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: Five days has fully expired now on motion of F. H Short It is ordered that the default of the defendant Domingo Jauregiuberry, be and the same is hereby entered	95	
"	21	On further motion of said F H Short it is ordered that judgment be entered as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Henry Wolters and George Wolters Co-partners as Wolters Brothers and Company and against the defendant Domingo Jauregiuberry for the sum of $269. {Principal $215- Interest $24- Attorneys fee $30-} and for costs of suit taxed at $18 30	1 00	7 45
			costs	16 85
				18 30
		Total Judgment $287 30 Done in open Court March 21, 1894		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		

Domingo Jauregiuberry, plaintiff, vs Henry Walters & George Walters, Co-partners as Walters Brothers & Co
The Broadway Insurance Company of New York a corporation

Mch 22 It appearing to the Court that heretofore on the 8th day of Feby 1894 Henry Wolters and George Wolters co-partners as Walters Brothers and Company brought their suit against Domingo Jauregiuberry in this Court and afterwards on March 21st 1894 Judgment in said action was duly and regularly given made and entered in favor of the plaintiffs as aforesaid, and against Domingo Jauregiuberry for the total sum of $287 30, debt interest attorneys fees and costs, therein and it further appearing to the Court that "Broadway Insurance Company of New York, was duly served as garnishee in said action last above named and thereupon said garnishee made answer that it was indebted to said Domingo Jauregiuberry in the sum of $548 55

It is therefore considered by the Court and ordered

See page 100

No. 350

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo B Rowell & Geo L. Johnson Co partners as Rowell & Johnson Plaintiffs

vs.

Jennie Pickle and C. G. Sayle Defendants

Action From Note

Demand $35.03 Int & Atty fees

Geo L. Warlow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants and each of them at this Township on to: wit: Jennie Pickle ~~Feby~~ 15. 1894 and on C. G. Sayle on Feby 14, 1894 and that the said defendants nor either of them has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has in each case fully expired, now on motion of Geo L. Warlow it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Jennie Pickle and C. G. Sayle be and the same are hereby entered.	1 20	
		On further motion of said Warlow it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Geo B Rowell and Geo L. Johnson, Co-partners as Rowell & Johnson and against the defendants Jennie Pickle and C. G. Sayle for the sum of $44.81 (Principal $35.03 Interest $4.78 Atty fee $5.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.45	1 00	4 45
			Omer	4 00
				8 45
		Total judgment $53.26		
		Done in open Court this 23rd Feby 1894		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

May 4. 95 Justices fees pd by Plaintiff

No. 351

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. J. Prewett, Plaintiff

vs.

H H Alexander, Defendant

Action of

Demand $ 43.00

Frank Laring, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	9	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	10	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	10	The defendant by S. Jackson appears and demurs to the complaint orally & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	10	The defendant in Court with plaintiffs Attorney and moves the Court for an order releasing the attachment herein, and by consent the motion is heard at once	50	
"	10	The motion is submitted and it is ordered that the attachment be discharged and property released upon the filing of an undertaking in the sum of $100,-	25	Pd 5 50 in full
"	10	The bond filed as per order and sureties, justify and bond approved and it is ordered that the attachment herein be discharged & property levied on released	1 75	
"	24	The above action is this day dismissed the demand and costs being paid into Court	50	7 75

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 352.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Paradise Medicine Co. Plaintiff

vs.

Minier Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 38 60

J H Brown Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 10	Cause entered & complaint filed	1 00	

No. 353.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alexander Stuart, Plaintiff

vs.

E. Anderson and James Garner, Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 100 —

Alexander Stuart
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	12	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal serv	50	
"	12	Affidavit and undertaking on claim & delivery made and filed	1 75	
"	19	Affidavit returned and filed showing taking of property and delivery thereof to plaintiff on this date	25	
"	19	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant E. Anderson at this Township on the 12th day of Feby 1894, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant E. Anderson be and the same is hereby entered	25	
		Alexander Stuart is sworn and testifies in his own behalf from which testimony it appears that all the allegations of the complaint are true and on motion of said plaintiff judgment is ordered herein as prayed for	75	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Alexander Stuart and against the defendant E. Anderson for the delivery to plaintiff of the goods and chattels described in the complaint and for the sum of $20.00 damages for the detention of the same and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.15	1 00	6 45
			Const	6 70
				13 15
		Total judgment $33.15		
		Done in open Court this 19th Feby 1894		
		H. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
April	16	The above judgment is satisfied in full		
		H. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace		

No. 354

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs J J Brashear
Plaintiff

vs.

N. M. Decker
Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 10—

J. J. Brashear
Attorney for Plaintiff

H. H Welsh.
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued (2 days)	1 25	Pf 2 50
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	The defendant in person demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	15	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Feb 16, 1894 at 2 P.M	50	
"	15	Notice of hearing demurrer filed	25	
"	16	By consent hearing of demurrer continued to Feb 19, 1894 at 2 P.M	50	
"	21	The above action is this day dismissed + costs paid		Pf 3 00 in full

Az Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 355

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M. Costigan and E. A. Cohen co-partners as Jas Costigan Cohen & Co
Plaintiff

vs.

G. Nicalini
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $64 17

M. W. Muller
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	
"	14	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 7th day of March 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of M. W. Muller it is ordered that the default of the said defendant G. Nicalini be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said Muller it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiffs J. M. Costigan and E. A. Cohen co-partners as Costigan Cohen & Co. and against the defendant G. Nicolini for the sum of $64 17 and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $19 70	1 00	6 70
			Const.	13 00
				19 70

Total Judgment $83 87

Done in open Court this 14th March 1894

H. Austin

Justice of the Peace

108

No. 355

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno National Bank a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm T Sesnon, S. L. Hogue and G A Wilson

Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 177 30

Frank H. Short

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	chg			
Feby	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

No. 356

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno National Bank a corporation

Plaintiffs

vs.

James Gooden and Hannah Gooden

Defendants

Action 3 Prom notes

Demand $ 240. Int & $50 - atty fees

Frank H Short.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Chg		
Feby	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 357

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James McCardle as surviving partner of Smyth & McCardle, Plaintiff

vs.

John B. Murphy, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $37 25

James McCardle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pf 2 50
"	21	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 21st day of Feby 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of the said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant John B. Murphy be and the same is hereby entered.	90	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James McCardle, as surviving partner of Smyth & McCardle and against the defendant John B. Murphy for the sum of $41 84 (Principal $37 25 Interest $4 59) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 20	1 00	4 20 2 00 6 20
		Total Judgment $48 04		
		Done in open Court this 27th Feby 1894 H Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	27	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to	1 00	

Rec 3 00 in full March 26 1894

No. 358

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. D. Hutchison, Plaintiff

vs.

O. F. Vorce, F. E. Vorce, and J. Hockenberry, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 92.00 & Int & Atty fees

E. D. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feb	20	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	28	Now comes Thompson & Prince attorneys for O. F. & F. E. Vorce and demur generally to plaintiffs complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Mch	1	By consent of attorneys in open Court it is ordered that the above demurrer be heard at once	50	
"	1	The above demurrer submitted overruled & 3 days to ans	75	
		The above action is dismissed demand and all costs being paid.		

H. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 359

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Theo Kearney
Plaintiff

vs.

The Arthur R. Briggs Company a corporation
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 83 92

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	23	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	@ 25c
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
	28	Defendant by Alva E. Snow files written answer	25	
1896 January	22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Atty & Costs $4.00 pd by him		
		A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 360

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Sutherland, Plaintiff

vs.

J G James, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 269 34

Geo E Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	23	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
Mch	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served upon the defendant at this 3rd Township Fresno County California on the 14th day of March 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Geo E. Church it is ordered that the default of of the said defendant J. G. James, be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Geo E Church it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J Sutherland and against the defendant J. G. James for the sum of $269 34 and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $6 20	1 00	4 20
			Const.	2 00
				6 20
		Total judgment $275 54		
		Done in open Court this 20th Mch 1894 A Austin Justice of the Peace.		
Mch	29	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day. Joseph Sutherland		

114

No. 361

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. M. H. Kaarsberg, Plaintiff

vs.

N. Boysen, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 22 80

D. M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 24	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	

No. 362

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hang Chong

Plaintiff

vs.

Hop Boo, and Ah Sam, and Lee Hop. a partnership firm composed of Hop Boo and Ah Sam

Defendant

Action assigned a/c

Demand $ 105-05

Frank H Shoot.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	1	The above action is this day dismissed & costs paid		Pd 5 50 in full

A Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 363.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Tipton & Co a partnership
Plaintiff

vs.

J H Bergman, A Burleight and J. Miller
Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 80 80

L. Tipton
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Attorney for Defendant

Chg B.T.a.

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feb	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	27	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
Mch	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	6	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the ~~summons~~ attached to a true copy of the complaint was served on the defendants J H Bergman, A Burleigh, and J. Miller at this Township on the 27th day of Feby 1894 and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereof has fully expired now on motion of L. Tipton it is ordered that the default of the said defendants, J H Bergman, A Burleigh and J Miller be and the same is hereby entered	1 45	
"	6	On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff L. Tipton & Co a partnership and against the defendants J H Bergman, A Burleigh and J. Miller for the sum of $80 80 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $26 30	1 00	7 30
			Constr	19 00
				26 30
		Total Judgment $107 10		
		Done in open Court this 6th March 1894		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	6	Execution issued and delivered to Geo Matheson	1 25	
"	12	Execution returned partly satisfied All costs & $85 95 paid to Pltff.		

No. 364

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Edward Basco, Plaintiff

vs.

G.W. Smith and B. M. Hague, Defendants

Action Promissory note ~~Int & atty fees~~

Demand $ 184.91 Int & atty fees

Evarts & Ewing
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	27	Cause entered and complaint filed	1.00	P 2.50
Mch	6	Summons issued	.50	
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.25	
"	19	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendants at this Township as follows viz: on G.W. Smith on Mch 10, 1894 and on B. M. Hague, on the 12th day of Mch 1894, and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Evarts & Ewing it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants, G.W. Smith and B. M. Hague be and the same are hereby entered	1.20	
		On further motion of said Evarts & Ewing it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	.50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Edward Basco and against the defendants G.W. Smith and B. M. Hague for the sum of $221.56 (Principal $154.91 Interest $31.45 atty fees $35.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $7.65	1.00	
		Total Judgment $229.01		
		Done in open Court this 19th Mch 1894 A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
June 19 1896		abstract of judgment issued to A. L. Evarts		
Jany	4	The above judgment is credited with $197.55		
Feby	25	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day —		

Jan 4. 96. Costs paid by plaintiff

4.45
3.20
7.65

118

No. 365

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Edward Martni Plaintiff

vs.

Jas Sagnière Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $50.00

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feb	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
Mch	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	5	Dfdt by H H Welsh demurs orally & says the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Mch 14, 1894 9 a m	50	
"	13	Written notice of above order filed showing service on H H Welsh	25	
"	14	The above demurrer submitted overruled and 1 day to answer	75	
"	15	The defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	26	On motion of defendant cause set for trial Mch. 29, 1894 at 9 a m	50	
"	29	On motion of plaintiff trial continued to Mch 30, 1894 at 1-30 P. M	50	
"	30	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. Ed Martni, E. M Ratto Eno W H Jones are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and John Church, Joe Sagneri, J. G. Gogenheim, Geo F Church, D. E. Williams, Richard Francis, H A. Krohn, M Smubane, Peter Labertelle, Octaves Darges, Geo, Barnett, Eno D Cassagon are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for the defendant for costs	4 25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Jas Sagneri and against the plaintiff Edward Martni for the costs and disbursements of this action taxed at, $ 38 75	1 00	10 25
		Done in open Court this 30th Mch 1894	Constables	4 30
		H Z Austin	Witness	24 20
		Justice of the Peace		38 75

No. 366

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Madsen, Plaintiff

vs.

Ole G Lee, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 216 & Int

D. M. Sealon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Cha PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	1	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	1	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	1	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	2	The above action is this day dismissed costs paid		
		A z Austin Justice of the Peace		

120

No. 367

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wong Kee

Plaintiff

vs.

Fong Chow and Sun Hop

Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 125.00

Joe Sing

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	1		Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	1		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	1		Writ of attachment issued	50	
Aug	1		Writ of attachment returned & filed	50	Pd 50

No. 368

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph S. Bretz, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Bishop, A H Greeley, and H. M H Kaarsberg, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $299 Int & attys fee

Sayle & Coldwell, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on H. M. H. Kaarsberg	50	
"	31	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant H. M. H Kaarsberg on the 21st day of March 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of Sayle & Coldwell it is ordered that the default of the said defendant H. M. H Kaarsberg be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of Sayle & Coldwell it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Joseph S. Bretz and against the defendant H. M. H Kaarsberg for the sum of $381.40 {Principal $299.00 Interest $52.40 attyfee $30.00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $10.10	1 00	4 30
			Const.	5 90
				18 10
		Total Judgment $391.50		
		Done in open Court this 31st day of March 1894		
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Apr	6	Execution issued and delivered to C. G. Sayle	1 25	

No. 369

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo E. Church
Plaintiff

vs.

D. W. Parkhurst
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 246 30

Geo E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	3		Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Pd 2 50

No. 370

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. Radin and A Kamp co-partners as Radin & Kamp, Plaintiff,

vs.

V. M. Coz, Defendant

Action A/c

Demand $ 48 95

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Chg Mch 14. $4.00 J.C. Pd. by Pltff - to disms	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Mch	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	13	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing Levy	25	

124

No. 371

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bula E. Lorenz
Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. McDonald and D. M. Seaton
Defendants

Action Promissory note

Demand $250.00 & Int

A M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	7	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	P 3 50
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
April	24	Defendant Seaton files written answer	25	
May	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	8	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing proceedings	25	
"	12	Defendant J. F. McDonald personally demurs to the complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	19	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing May 23, 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	22	Notice of setting demurrer filed showing service	25	
"	22	By consent hearing continued to May 25, 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	25	Above demurrer submitted overruled & two days to answer	75	
"	25	Defendant McDonald by G. W. Jones answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint with permission to amend before trial	50	
"	25	Motion of plaintiff cause set for trial June 1, 1894 at 10 A M	50	
"	31	Defendant McDonald files amended answer	25	8 25
June	1	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds Bula E. Lorenz, is sworn and testifies in her own behalf and D. M. Seaton and J. F. McDonald are sworn and testify in their own behalf and afterwards Dr Miller is sworn and testifies in the case at the Courts request.	1 00	
July	27	The matter is argued by Counsel submitted and taken under advisement	25	
Nov	16	The Court this day finds for defendants. Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendants J. F. McDonald and against the plaintiff Bula E. Lorenz for the Costs of this action taxed at $	1 00	10 50

Done in open Court this 16th Nov 1894

Hz Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 372

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe Gastiner, Plaintiff

vs.

B H Boren, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $211.00 Int & Attys fees

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Pd 8.00 Conditional		Pd 2.50
Mch	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 25	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
		showing levy	25	
		n of the officer		
		ue copy of the com-		
		efendant at this County		
		the said defendant		
		r in any manner		
		efer to wit; five		
		ction of E W Risley		
		said defendant		
		by entered.	95	
		ded that Jud-		
		r	50	
		ered and entered		
		Gastiner and		
		ren for the		
		terest $4.27 Attys		
		herein total $24.20	1 00	6 70
		5.27	Const	18 30
		Mch. 1894		24 90
		ace		
		1.30 paid on Principal		

18.20
5.50
23.70
25.
48.70
51.30
100.00

Pd True

Princ

E W Risley

Gastiner vs Boren

Costs by Risley

Paid

124

No. 371

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bula E. Lorenz
Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. McDonald and D. M. Seaton
Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $250.00 & Int

A M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	7	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 3 50
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
April	24	Defendant Seaton files written answer	25	
May	8	Summons returned and filed show		
"	8	Writ of attachment returned a		
"	12	Defendant J. F. McDonald personally d that it does not state facts suffici		
"	19	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer su		
"	22	Notice of setting demurrer filed		
"	22	By consent hearing continued to M		
"	25	Above demurrer submitted over		
"	25	Defendant McDonald by G. W. Jones an every allegation in the complaint with p		
"	25	Motion of plaintiff cause set for trial		
"	31	Defendant McDonald files am		
June	1	At the time set all parties are pres Bula E. Lorenz, is sworn and testifies in t J. F. McDonald are sworn and testify in t Dr Miller is sworn and testifies in the		
July	27	The matter is argued by counsel and		
Nov	16	The court this day finds for defe Wherefore Judgment is in favor of the defendants J the plaintiff Bula E. Lorenz Taxed at $ Done in open Court this 1		

A z Austin justice of the Peace

No. 372

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe Gastiner, Plaintiff

vs.

B H Boren, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $211.15 Int & Attys fees

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Cha Conditional Pd 8.00		Pd 2.50
Mch	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this County on the 15th day of March 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired now on motion of E W Risley It is ordered that the default of the said defendant B H Boren be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of E W Risley It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Joe Gastiner and against the defendant B H Boren for the sum of $240.37 (Principal $211.15, Interest $4.22 Attys fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $24.20	100	6 70
			Const	18 30
				24 90
		Total judgment $265.27		
		Done in open Court this 27th Mch. 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	27	All costs and attorneys fee paid and $51.30 paid on Principal and interest on demand		

No. 373.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Louis Hansen, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Reese, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $171.00 & Int

E. W. Risley, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS. Conditional	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pf 2 50
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	Defendant by E. A. Williams files written demurrer	25	
Apr	3.	The above action is this day dismissed by order of plaintiffs attorney upon payment of costs	2 00	in full
		H. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
		Huber vs Murray } From page 127.		
May	7	Motion to retax costs argued & submitted and re-taxed as per cost bill less $6.72 deducted from constables costs for rent afc leaving rent afc retaxed at $53.28		
"	17	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		
"	17	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendant		
July 1896	3	The above judgment is credited with $285.00 all costs paid Justices & constables to wit: $112.58 and balance to wit: $172.42 paid to plaintiffs attorney.		
Mch	11	Execution issued and delivered to Johnston	1 25	
"	26	Plaintiff makes affidavit for Examination of Geo Studer and Garnishees & Supplemental to Execution & order made for April 9. 1896 at 2. P. M	50	
April	9	Affidavit and order returned and filed served	25	
"	9	Geo Studer and Laura Studer his wife in Court and examined as garnishees and plaintiff moves the Court for an order that said garnishees pay into Court as such garnishees the sum of $137.58 the amount due on this judgment The motion is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement until Apr 13. '96 at 11 o'clk	1 00	
"	13	It appearing to the Court that Geo Studer and Laura Studer Garnishees herein at the date of the service of the garnishment on them were indebted to Owen Murray defendant herein in a sum exceeding $137.50 It is therefore hereordered and adjudged that said garnishees pay into Court the said sum of $137.58 and the costs of this proceeding taxed at 4.25 Total $141.83 Done in open Court this 13. th day of April 1896 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace.	25	3 25 1 00 4 25
"	13	Execution issued and delivered to Shaw	1 25	

No. 374

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas Huber — Plaintiff

vs.

Owen Murray — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 290 20

J. P. Meux — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pf 2 50
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Affidavit for order of sale of personal property filed	25	
"	19	Order sale of personal property made	25	
"	30	Petition for payment of preferred claims filed	25	
April	10	Order sale of personal property filed showing proceedings	25	
Dec	11	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	12	Affidavit for publication of summons filed	25	
"	12	Order of publication of summons made & filed	50	
1895 Feby	20	Summons and affidavits of publication and mailing same returned and filed	75	
Mch	1	Defendant by J. H. Daly demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	8	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Mch 13. 1895 10 a m	50	
"	9	Notice of above order filed		
"	13	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	13	Defendant answers orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	19	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Mch 26. 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	19	Notice of above order filed served.	25	
"	26	On motion of defendant trial continued to April 15. 1895 at	50	9 30
April	15	At the time set all parties in Court and the trial proceeds, Jas Huber is sworn and testifies for himself. The defendant offers no testimony and April 18, 1895 at 10 a m is set for argument of this cause		
"	18	Matter argued submitted and the court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for. Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff Jas Huber and against the defendant Owen Murray for the sum of $ 290 20 & for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 132 38	3 00	12 30

Total Judgment $ 422 58

Done in open Court this 18th April 1895.

H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace

"	22	Cost bill filed by pltff.		
"	27	Motion to retax costs filed by defendants		

128

No. 375

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jesse W. Pond — Plaintiff

vs.

S. D. Minor — Defendant

Action Ag

Demand $51.50

E. S. Van Meter — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	chg			
Mch	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
April	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing Levy	25	
May	4	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants at this township on the 23rd day of April 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired, now on motion of E S Van Meter it is ordered that the default of the said defendant S D Minor be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of E S Van Meter it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jesse W. Pond and against the defendant S. D. Minor for the sum of $51.50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $15.10	1 00	6 70
			Constr.	6 40
				13 10
		Total Judgment $64.60		

Done in open Court this 4th day of May 1894

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

Dec 23. 95 Justice fees pd by Shaw

No. 376

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs C. C. S. Tufts — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. E. Church — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 26 30

A. M. Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. E. Church — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Chg			
Mch	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Af 2 50
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	12	The defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
Apr	13	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Apr 17. 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	17	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, The plaintiff is sworn and testifies in her own behalf and the defendant is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	50	
1895 Aug	28	The Court this day finds for defendant for Costs.	25	

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Geo E Church and against the plaintiff Mrs C C S Tufts for the Costs of this action taxed at $ 6 50

Total Judgment $ 6 50

1 00	4 50
Const.	2 00
	6 50

Done in open Court this 28th Aug 1895

A. Austin

Justice of the Peace

130

No. 377.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo M. Kohler, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Ferron, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 12.00

Geo M Kohler
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS. Apr 9. 94. $5.00 pd by Plff.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chg		
Mch	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.00
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	31	Affidavit for order sale of personal property made & filed & order made	75	
Apr	9	Order of sale filed showing proceedings	25	

No. 378

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Pond
Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Hill
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 8 08

E. S. Van Meter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg Justices fees paid by Shaw 12/23/95		
Mch	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	125	Pd 3 50
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	Defendant personally files written answer	50	

Insolvent

132

No. 379

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. P. Lett

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doyle

Defendant

Action Prom note Cont

Demand $ 285 80

Geo E. Church

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	12		Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	19		Defendant by Tupper & Tupper files written demurrer	25	
"	19		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	

No. 380

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. M. Burris Agent, Plaintiff

vs.

Owen Murray, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $236.75

J. M. Meux, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	13	Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	11	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	12	Affidavit for publication of summons filed	25	
"	12	Order of publication of summons made & filed	50	
Feby 1895	20	Summons and affidavits of publication and mailing returned and filed	75	
Mch	1	Defendant by J. H. Daly, demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	8	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for Mch 13, 95 at 10 a.m.	50	
"	9	Notice of above order filed	25	
"	13	Demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	13	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in complaint	25	
"	19	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Mch 26, 1895 at 10-30 a.m.	50	
"	19	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	26	On motion of defendant trial continued to April 15, 1895 at	50	$ 50
April	15	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds, E. M. Burris is sworn and testifies for plaintiff and Aldrich is sworn and testifies for defendant the evidence is closed and April 18, 1895 at 10 a.m. set for argument of this cause.		
"	18	At the time set all parties are present and plaintiff asks leave to reopen his case. Leave is granted & he offers the original order for the goods in question which is admitted in evidence. The matter is argued and submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for. Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. M. Burris Agent and against the defendant Owen Murray for the sum of $236.75 and for costs herein taxed at $51.80 (3 00) 11 50. Total Judgment $287.95. Done in open Court this 18th day of April 1895. S. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	22	Cost Bill filed by Pltff.		
"	27	Motion to retax costs filed by defendant		
May	7	Motion to retax costs argued & submitted and costs retaxed as per cost bill.		
"	17	Notice of appeal filed by defendant		
"	17	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendants		

134

No. 381

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Gorell, Plaintiff

vs.

May Abbott, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 299.00

A. M. Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Mch	14	Cause entered and complaint filed	1	00		
"	14	The defendant personally is in Court and appears herein and demurs to plaintiffs complaint orally and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		50		
"	14	By consent of all parties in open Court hearing on above demurrer is set at once and notice waived by all		50		
"	14	The demurrer submitted without argument and overruled and answer ordered at once		75		
"	14	The defendant is in Court and refuses to answer or appear herein further and it appearing to the Court that defendant has failed to comply with the order of the Court to answer at once, notice thereof being waived, it is ordered that the default of the said defendant May Abbott be and the same is hereby entered		75		
		On further motion of A M Drew it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.		50		
		Wherefore judgment is now rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein John Gorell and against the defendant May Abbott for the sum of $299.00 and for costs of suit incurred at $4.00	1	00	5	00
		Total judgment $ 303.20				
		Done in open Court this 14th Mch 1894				
		H Austin, Justice of the Peace				
"	14	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1	20		

No. 382

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hutchison & Sanborn

Plaintiff

vs.

James H Long, Hugh D Long & Henry J. Eaton

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 101 15

Strother & Strother

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
Mch	15	Cause entered complaint filed and warrant issued	1 25	
"	15	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	15	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on H. J. Eaton	50	
"	30	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer		
"		that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant Henry J Eaton on the 22nd day of March 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of Strother & Strother it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Henry J Eaton be, and the same is hereby entered.	75	
		On further motion of said Strother & Strother it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Whereupon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Hutchison & Sanborn and against the defendant Henry J Eaton for the sum of $101 15 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11 30	1 00	6 70
			Costs	4 60
		Total judgment $112 45		11 30
		Done in open Court this 30th March 1894		
		A. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Apr	7	Execution issued and delivered to W Shaw	1 25	

No. 383

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. T. Stevens, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Hull, Defendant

Action ~~1760~~ a/c

Demand $ 17.60

A T Stevens
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		Chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	16	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	16	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing proceedings	25	
"	16	The defendant by Geo L. Hood appears only for the purpose of moving the Court to to release the attachment herein	25	
"	16	The plaintiff in person and Geo L Hood in behalf of defendant are in Court and consent that motion to discharge attachment and release property be heard at once	50	5.00 Gratuitable Pd
"	16	The motion is submitted and the Court orders the attachment discharged and property levied on released upon filing a proper bond in the sum of $50.00	50	
"	16	Bond filed as ordered Plaintiff in Court and waives justification of sureties and it is ordered by this Court that the attachment be discharged and all property levied on thereunder be released	1 50	

No. 384

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. Jensen, Plaintiff

vs.

Niels Mortensen, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 54 50

H B Choice
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	17	Cha 3985 Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	17	Affidavit and cash undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	20	The above action is this day dismissed on compromise $39 85 and costs paid Hz Austin Justice of the Peace	5 50 Pd	
"	27	Summons & Writ of attachment filed		

138

No. 385

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mary M. Bevins
Plaintiff

vs.

H C Hansen
Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 100. Int & attys fees

G. C. Freman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	19	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	19	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	19	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 386

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Neeley
Plaintiff

vs.

R. P. Ashe, Guardian of the person and estate of S. A. Terry an insane person
Defendant

Action Ge

Demand $55.00

E. A. Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Clq			
Mch	21	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	PF 2.50
1895 Feby	5	Order substitution of party defendant made & filed J H Williams	50	
"	14	Defendant by W D Tupper files written demurrer	25	

No. 387

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Shanklin
Plaintiff

vs.

H L Rhodes & Lola B Rhodes
Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 159 Int

J W Shanklin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	21	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	or 2 50
"	23	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	Dr appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants and each of them at this township on the 23rd day of March 1894 and that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendants H. L. Rhodes and Lola B Rhodes, be and the same are hereby entered	120	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. W. Shanklin and against the defendants H. L. Rhodes and Lola B Rhodes for the sum of $ 189 80 (Principal $ 159 00 Interest $ 30 80) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 8 45	100	445 / cost 400 / 8 45
		Total Judgment $ 198 25		
		Done in open Court this 30th Mch 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Apr	13	Abstract of judgment made & given to H Russell	100	

No. 388

In the justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Sponogle, Plaintiff
vs.
Joseph Spinney, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 45.00

W S Crawford
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Coy & L. W. Moultrie
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Cha		Pd 2.50
Mch	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	31	Defendant by Coy & Moultrie files written demurrer	25	
April	4	Bill of particulars filed by plaintiff	25	
"	16	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Apr 18. 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	18	Written notice of setting demurrer for hearing on above date filed showing personal service on L. L. Coy	25	
"	18	Plaintiff in Court and demurrer submitted overruled and defendant ordered to answer at once	75	
"	18	The defendants demurrer to the complaint having been this day overruled and the defendant having failed to answer at once as directed, Now in consideration of the law and the facts aforesaid and on motion of W. S. Crawford Attorney for plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Joseph Spinney be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	18	On further Motion of said W. S. Crawford It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
"	18	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. M. Sponogle and against the defendant Joseph Spinney for the sum of $45.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.00	1 00	6 00
			const	2 00
				8 00
		Total Judgment $53.00		
		Done in open Court this 18th day of April 1894		
		Az Austin		
		Justice of the Peace.		
April	27	Defendant files affidavit, notice of Motion & proposed answer to set aside default & Judgment to be heard May 5, 1894 at 10 a m	75	
May	5	On motion of plaintiff hearing above motion continued to 5/8/94 2 P.m	50	
"	8	Motion submitted without argument on appearance for plaintiff & granted on payment of costs	25	
"	8	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	

No. 389.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. J. Masser, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm A Fisher, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $100 Int & attys fee

E A Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	26	Cause entered & complaint filed	1 00	
"	27	Defendant files appearance and waiver of service of summons	25	
Apr	3	It appearing to the Court from the written appearance of the defendant that he is in Court and has failed to appear herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of E A Williams It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Wm A Fisher be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said E A Williams It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. J. Masser and against the defendant Wm A Fisher for the sum of $112 38 (Principal $100 00 Interest $2 38 Atty fees $10 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $3 40	100	3 40
		Total Judgment $115 78		
		Done in open Court this 3rd April 1894		
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Apr	13	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Williams	100	

No. 390

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. C. Walker, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Walsh, Defendant

Action A/C

Demand $ 175.00

Haber Bros
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Chg			
Mch	27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	27	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	27	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	27	All papers returned and filed without service the cause having been settled out of Court is dismissed on motion of plaintiff H. Austin Justice of the Peace.	Pd 5 00 in full	

No. 391

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Sponogle, Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Cartwright, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 25.00

W S Crawford, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	28		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Dy 2 50
April	17		Summons returned filed showing personal service	50	
"	24		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 17th day of April 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit, five days has fully expired now on motion of W. S. Crawford it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. E. Cartwright be and the same is hereby entered	25	
			On further motion of said Crawford it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F. M. Sponogle and against the defendant J. E. Cartwright for the sum of $25.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $7.10	100 costs	4 20 2 90 7 10
			Total judgment $32.10		
			Done in open Court this 24th April 1894		
			H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		

No. 392

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Co, Corporations Plaintiff

vs.

T A Bell Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 46 13 Int & Attys fees

Horace Hawes Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 25
April	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	4	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	6	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	11	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 4th day of April 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired. Now on motion of Horace Hawes Esq it is ordered that the default of the said defendant T A Bell be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
"	11	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company, corporations and against the defendant T. A Bell for the sum of $ 77 03 {Principal $ 46 13 Interest $ 5 90 Attys fees $ 25 00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 14 30	1 00	6 70
			Const	7 60
		Total Judgment $ 91 33		14 30
		Done in open Court this 11th April 1894		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace	Cons 1 20	
"	11	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to H. Hawes	1 00	
May	12	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
	19	Execution returned filed wholly unsatisfied		
1895 Sep	11	Execution issued & del to Hawes	1 25	
Oct	16	Execution returned filed wholly satisfied		

Dec 13, 1894 Justices costs paid by plaintiffs

No. 393

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kutner Goldstein Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

A Van de Goorberg
Defendant

Action a/c.

Demand $ 31.00

H N Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	4		Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	4		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	4		Writ of attachment issued	50	
May	31		Defendant by J. P. Meux answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	

No. 394

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. L. Horn and B. H. Pendleton Co-partners as Horn & Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

F. Bramer — Defendant

Action

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April 7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50

No. 395

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Cerini

Plaintiff

vs.

A Tombs & Co, Tombs & Tynan, A. Tombs, J. H. Tynan, under the firm names of A Tombs & Co and Tombs & Tynan

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 200 61/100 Dol

G. C. Freman
Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Hart
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Now comes S R Hart and on behalf of defendants demurs orally to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	16	On motion of plaintiff hearing above demurrer set for Apr 18, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	17	Notice of setting demurrer for hearing on above date filed, served	20	
"	18	Attorneys in Court demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	70	
"	18	The defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	

Supr 23, 1894 - Judgment collected for justices fees 5 25 & for me 5 00

No. 396

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. J. Woodward and Jacob Vogel, Plaintiff

vs.

G. G. Goucher, Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 27 30

Geo L. Warlow, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Cha PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
April	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued returnable on the 16th Inst	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	17	It appearing to the Court that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 11th day of April 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the time fixed in said summons within which the defendant might appear, to wit Apr 16, 1894 having expired, now on motion of Geo L. Warlow it is ordered that the default of the said defendant G G Goucher be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		Jacob Vogel is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiffs and from the testimony adduced the court orders judgment as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs O J Woodward and Jacob Vogel and against the defendant G. G. Goucher as follows, to wit:		
		1st For the sum of $31 29 the amount of rent found due trebled to wit the sum of $93 87		
		2nd It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs O J Woodward and Jacob Vogel be restored to the possession of the premises described herein, to wit: Rooms 5 and 6 on the Second floor of Temple Bar Building in the City of Fresno and that the said defendant be ousted from the possession thereof		
		3rd That the verbal lease under which the said defendant has had possession of said premise, be declared forfeited and at an end		
		4th For the Costs of this action taxed at $6 40	1 20	4 40
			Clerk	2 00
				6 40
		Total Judgment $ 100 27		
		Done in open Court this 15th day April 1894		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	30	Writ of possession issued & delivered to Wm Show Const	1 25	

Justices fees paid by Pltffs & Taxed FEB 18 1895

No. 397

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company corporations Plaintiffs

vs.

Wm A Fisher and E. V. Fisher Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $127.55 Int & $25.00 atty fees

Horace Hawes Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	—
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned and filed not levied	25	
"	19	The defendants personally by Wm A Fisher appear and demur to plaintiffs complaint & say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Aug	18	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for Aug 20. 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
Aug	20	Notice of above order filed personally served	25	
"	20	The demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once no appearance for defendants	75	
"	20	It appearing to the Court that the defendants were duly notified of the time of the hearing of their demurrer, and that the same was duly heard and overruled and that they have failed to answer at once as ordered. Now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Wm A Fisher and E V Fisher be and the same are hereby entered	1 00	
		On further motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs the Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations and against the defendants Wm A Fisher and E. V. Fisher for the sum of $158.07 (Principal $127.55 Interest $5.52 atty fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $	1 00	8 50
			Cons.	5 20
		Total Judgment $171.77		$13 70
		Done in open Court this 20th Aug 1894		
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		
Sept 1895	29	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Horace Hawes	1 00	
Feb	5	The above judgment is this day credited with $4.95		

Dec 13. 1894 Costs fees paid by plaintiffs

No. 398

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations Plaintiffs

vs.

A Easterbrooks

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 494.43 Int & $25.00 atty fees

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	11	Cause entered complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	12	Writ of attachment returned & filed not served	25	
Aug	28	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 12th day of April 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit five days has fully expired, now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A Easterbrooks be and the same is hereby entered.	90	
		On further motion of said Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Puget Sound Lumber Company and Hanford Lumber Company Corporations and against the defendant A Easterbrooks for the sum of $ 74.68 {Principal $ 394.43 Interest $ 10.20 atty fees $ 25.00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 10.20	1 00	6 70
			Const	2 00
			Notary	1 50
				10 20
		Total Judgment $ 84.88		
		Done in open Court this 28th Aug 1894		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Aug	28	Execution issued & delivered to H Hawes	1 25	

Dec 13. 1894 Justice fees paid by plaintiffs

152

No. 399.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Myers, Plaintiff

vs.

B.C. Wills, Defendant

Action Ag

Demand $115.15

A.E. Snow, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS. Apr 27. Snow Jud 82.50 J.C.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	11	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	Pd 2.50

No. 400—

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. N. Pattison, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. McDonald, Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 42

D N Pattison, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	17	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 13th day of April 1894, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the ~~legal~~ time fixed in said summons within which defendant might appear herein having fully expired, Now on motion of D. N Pattison It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. W. McDonald be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		D. N Pattison is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and from the testimony adduced the Court orders Judgment for plaintiff as prayed for	58	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff D. N. Pattison and against the defendant J W McDonald, as follows viz:	70	
		1st For the sum of $42.00 the rent found due trebled to wit $126.00		
		2nd It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff D. N Pattison be restored to the possession of the premises described in the complaint herein, to wit: the one story frame dwelling house situate on fractional block "F" of the City of Fresno, and that the defendant be ousted from the possession thereof		
		3rd That the lease under which the said defendant has held possession of said premises be and the same hereby declared forfeited and at an end and		
		4th for the costs of this action taxed at $6.90	1 00	4 90
			Const	2 00
		Total Judgment $132.90		6 90
		Done in open Court this 17th April 1894		
		H z Austin, Justice of the Peace		

May 7. 94 July 12. 1894 All costs paid by plaintiff.

154

No. 401

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M. Costigan & E. A. Cohen Co-partners Jas Costigan Cohen & Co
Plaintiff

vs.

M. Kaller
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 250 22

M. W. Muller
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Chg			
April	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	1	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	6	Defendant by Geo E Church demurs orally to plaintiffs Complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
1895 Dec	23	It appearing to the Court that the Justice before whom the above action is pending is disqualified from sitting herein, this action is hereby transferred to the other Justices Court of this Township S C St John Esq Justice of the Peace. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.		

No. 402

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Clark Moore

Plaintiff

vs.

M J Church, A Carey, G A Everts, D Graham Whidden, Samuel Jackson, J W Ferguson and H A Hornlein

Defendants

Action Services

Demand $ 280.00

S. J. Hinds

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	17	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	Pd 2.50

No. 403

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F M Sponogle, Plaintiff

vs.

Dani Maloney, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 125.00

W. S. Crawford, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 10
"	27	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
May	3	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 27th day of April 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of W. S. Crawford it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Dani Maloney be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said W. S. Crawford It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F M Sponogle and against the defendant Dani Maloney for the sum of $125.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.20	1 00	4 20 2 00 6 20
		Total Judgment $131.20		
		Done in open Court this 3rd May 1894 A Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 404

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Will H. Dean, Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Garner, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $47.71 Int & $10 atty's fee

Henry Mills
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	23	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	23	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	23	Writ of attachment issued	50	
May	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	8	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 8th day of May 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time thereof to wit: five days, has fully expired, now on motion of Henry Mills It is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. C. Garner be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Henry Mills it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Will H Dean and against the defendant J. C. Garner, for the sum of $60.92 {Principal $47.71 Interest $3.21 Attys fee $10.00} and for costs of suit taxed at $13.50	1 00	6 70
			Amt	6 80
				13 50
		Total Judgment $74.42		
		Done in open Court this 15th May 1894		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	15	Affidavit for release of attachment filed	25	
"	15	Motion to release attachment made, submitted, granted and Constable ordered to release all property held by attachment	75	
"	25	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const.	1 25	
"	28	Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied		

No. 405

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, Plaintiff

vs.

Milton McWhorter, T.R. Foster and J.B. Hill, Defendants

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 160 25

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
April	24	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	50	
May	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	4	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on each of the said defendants at this 3rd Township on the dates following: viz: On J.B. Hill April 26, 1894: On T.R. Foster on April 26 1894 and on Milton McWhorter, April 28 1894, and that neither of the said defendants have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired as against each and every of the said defendants. Now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Milton McWhorter, T.R. Foster and J.B. Hill be and the same are hereby entered	1 45	
		On further motion of said Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank and against the defendants Milton McWhorter, T.R. Foster, and J.B. Hill for the sum of $ 185 72 {Principal $150 - Interest $10.72 Attorney fees $25 00} and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 14 80	100	7 20 7 60 14 80
		Total Judgment $ 200 52		
		Done in open Court this 4th day of May 1894 A. Austin Justice of the Peace.		
May	25	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered Horace Hawes	70	

Now 30 Justices Costs paid by plaintiff

No. 406

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J G Rhodes, Plaintiff

vs.

John Kerr Jr, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 100—

J G Rhodes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Chg			Pd 2.50
April	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
May	7	Affidavit for order of publication of summons made & filed	50	
May	8	Order publication of summons made filed	50	
"	17	Defendant by G L Warlow files written answer	25	—
"	18	By consent trial of this cause set for this day at 2-30 P.M	50	
"	18	at the time set the parties are in Court and the trial proceeds. J.G. Rhodes is sworn and the note sued on is offered and received in evidence John Kerr Jr is sworn and testifies in his own behalf as is Geo L Warlow. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for Defendant for costs.	1.50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the ~~pla~~ defendant John Kerr Jr and against the plaintiff J G Rhodes for the costs of this action taxed at $ 5.50	1.00	5.50

Done in open Court this 18th May 1894

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 407.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Union Ice Company a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Z. Smith
Defendant

Action Ac

Demand $ 12.50

J. H. Patterson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		COSTS. DEFENDANT.	
April	27	Cause entered & Complaint filed	1	00		

No. 408

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C H Rieque Plaintiff

vs.

C. G. Sayle and N. C. Caldwell Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 24 20

A. E. Snow Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Cha	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
April	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
June	27	The defendants by E. A. Williams demur orally to plaintiffs complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	27	On motion of defendants hearing of demurer set for this day at 10 a m and notice waived in open Court	50	
"	27	At the time set the demurer is submitted without argument and by the Court overruled and defendants ordered to answer at once & notice waived by Defts	75	
"	27	It appearing to the Court that the defendants have not complied with the above order to answer at once although aware of the same and now on motion of A. E. Snow It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants C. G. Sayle and N. C. Caldwell, for not answering said complaint be and the same is hereby entered	1 00	
		On further motion of said Snow It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. H. Rieque and against the defendants C G Sayle and N. C. Caldwell for the sum of $24 20 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $5 50	1 00	5 50
		Total Judgment $29 70		
		Done in open Court this 27th June 1894		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 409

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank V. Silva, Plaintiff

vs.

J. R. Mondoncia, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 22.50

F H Short, Attorney for Plaintiff

S R Hart, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Apr	30	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
May	1	Defendant by S R Hart files written demurrer	25	
"	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Dec	27	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for Dec 31, 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	31	Demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days to answer	75	
"	31	Defendant answers orally denying each & every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	31	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jan 12, 1895 at 2 P. M	50	
Jan	12	On motion of defendant trial continued to Jany 26. 1895 at 2 P. M	50	
"	26	At the time set the plaintiff and defendants Attorney are in Court and defendant not present, Defendants Attorney asks for a continuance, motion denied and the trial proceeds Frank V Silva is sworn and testifies for for plaintiff The defendant offers no testimony and the cause is submitted and the Court orders Judgment as prayed for.	1 25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Frank V. Silva and against the defendant J R Mondoncia for the sum of $22.50 for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.75	1 00	6 75
			Const	2 00
				8 75
Stay 10 days		Total Judgment $31.75		
		Done in open Court this 26th Jany 1895		
		H Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Feby	8	The above Judgment satisfied in full this day		
		H Austin, Justice of the Peace		

No. 410

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank V. Silva, Plaintiff

vs.

J. R. Mondoncia and Frank V Casta, Defendants

Action Trespass

Demand $ 100

F H Short, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		chq		
April	30	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	P/ 2 50
May	5	Defendant Mondoncia by Hinds & Walcott files written answer	20	
July	6	Summons returned & filed served	50	
Dec 1895	27	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jany 12. 1895 at 2. P. m	50	
Jany	11	Defendant Casta by S J Hinds answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint.	20	
"	12	On motion of defendants trial continued to Jan 26. 1895 at 2 P. m	50	
"	21	Subpoena for 5 Witnesses issued for defendants	1 25	
"	26	Above subpoena returned & filed	20	
"	26	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. Frank V Silva and T H Warrington are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and L. N Hyde and C. Van Valer are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	3 50	
"	30	The court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $ 78 40	20	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Frank V. Silva and against the defendants J. R. Mondoncia and Frank V. Casta for the sum of $ 78 40 90 for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 10 50	1 00	6 60
		Total judgment $88 90		4 00
		Done in open Court the 30th Jany 1895		10 50
		A Z Austin, Justice of the Peace	6 50	
Feb	4	Undertaking on appeal made & filed by defendants	1 25	
"	6	Notice of appeal filed by defendants	25	
"	6	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	
				9 50
"	12	Notice of Exception to Sureties filed served		
"	15	Sureties in Court by agreement & C. Van Valer Justifies L N Hyde fails to Justify & New Bond is given with C. Van Valer and William Degen as sureties & accepted by Respondents		

FEB 6 1895 Pd. by Dfts

No. 411

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. C. Seal, Plaintiff

vs.

John A Dolson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 6.00

A. M Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS. No charge for fees	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
May	1	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	1	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made filed	1 75	
"	1	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 412

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. C. Steffersen, Plaintiff

vs.

S. C. Swaggerty, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 42.00

W. S. Crawford, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing service	50	
"	28	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 21st day of May 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired Now on motion of W. S. Crawford it is ordered that the default of the said defendant S. C. Swaggerty be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said W. S. Crawford it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. C. Steffersen and against the defendant S. C. Swaggerty for the sum of $42.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.00	100 Canc	420 8 80 8 00
		Total judgment $50.00		
		Done in open Court this 28th May 1894		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
May	31	Execution issued & given to W. S. Crawford	1 25	
1895 Sept	13	Assignment of judgment to D S Church filed		
1897 April	19	Execution issued and delivered to Hubbard	1 25	
"	24	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied		
May	11	Assignment of judgment D S Church		
Collected Justice		52 60 / 6 70 / 59 90 Pltff filed		

No. 413

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Dixon, Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Martin, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 76.00

W D Grady, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May	3		Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

No. 414

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous

Plaintiff

vs.

J F Mahoney and Mrs. J F Mahoney

Defendant

Action a/c v Lease of buggy

Demand $ 101 82 v attys. fees

D. M. Seaton

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Chg 5 50 pd by pltff Feb 1. 95	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	8	Summons returned & filed served on Mrs J F Mahoney 5/3/94	50	
"	8	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	

168

No. 415

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. P. Thompson, Plaintiff

vs.

Josephine J. Connelly, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 299 95

D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo A. Nouse, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	9	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	Defendant by Geo A. Nouse files written demurrer	25	
"	26	On motion of plaintiff hearing on demurrer set for May 28. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	28	Notice of hearing filed served	25	
"	28	Demurrer argued submitted overruled & 3 days to answer	75	
"	31	Defendant files written answer	25	3 75 2 00
June	4	On motion of plaintiff trial set for June 7. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	4	Notice of setting case for trial filed served	25	
"	7	On motion of defendant trial continued to June 25. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	25	at the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds W. P. Thompson. R Akins L. L. Coy & L Carter 2nd Horace Hawes are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Mrs J J Connolly 2nd Geo A. Nouse are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and the Court orders Judgment for plaintiff for $ 75 00	2 25	
"	25	Defendant files offer to allow Judgment ~~for~~ $ 80 00 served on plaintiff May 31. 1894		25
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. P. Thompson and against the defendant Josephine J Connolly for the sum of $ 75 00 and for costs of suit herein up to the time of the offer of Judgment aforesaid Taxed at $ 6 00	1 00	8 50
		Total Judgment $ 81 00		
		Done in open Court this 25th day of June 1894		
		H Austin, Justice of the Peace.		
"	25	defendant files assignment of Judgment to D. M Seaton	25	
July	7	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
"	18	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

No. 416

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A H Kirkpatrick, Plaintiff

vs.

Barton Estate Co, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $28 80

J G Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Chg			
May	7	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

170

No. 417

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Maurice Roorburo

Plaintiff

vs.

G. N. Freman

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 34 70

Evarts Keeving

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	7		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	PB 2 50
"	9		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14		Defendant by G C Freman demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 418

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The First National Bank of Fresno a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

L. Papovich, M. J. Darabos and W. J. Hutchinson and E. D. Edwards
Defendants

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 381.00 + attys fees

Geo E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		chg		
May	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Summons returned filed showing service on Papovich	50	
"	13	Defendant Papovich personally demurs to the complaint and says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
May	14	Plaintiff asks leave to file amended complaint. Leave granted and amended complaint filed	75	
"	14	Summons issued on amended complaint	50	
June	1	Summons returned filed personally served	50	
				Pd 2 50

172

No. 419

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township, County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Laura A Harris. Plaintiff

vs.

S.K. Lemon Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 12.50

D M Sealon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		Chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	21	Defendant personally demurs orally to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 420

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. W. Porter, Plaintiff

vs.

W. P. Thompson, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 76.00 & Int

Tupper & Tupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
May 15		Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Pd 2 50
" 21		Defendant personally demurs to the complaint orally and says It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
" 21		By consent the demurrer is heard at once and is submitted overruled and answer ordered at once & notice waived	75	
" 22		It appearing to the Court that the defendant has not answered as ordered now on motion of Tupper & Tupper It is ordered that the default of the said defendant for not answering be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said Tupper & Tupper It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. W. Porter and against the defendant W. P. Thompson for the sum of $116.16 (Principal $76.00 Interest $40.16) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $4.50	1 00	4 50
		Total judgment $120.66		

Done in open Court this 21st day of May 1894

H. Austin

Justice of the Peace

174

No. 421

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo E Dierssen

Plaintiff

vs.

H Weimann and Chas Mayer

Defendants

Action On a/c

Demand $ 149 85

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mark Walsen & C C Merriam
Attorney for Defendant Weimann

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 2 50
May	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	15	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	15	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Summons returned & filed showing service	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	19	Defendant Weiman by McWalsen demurs orally to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	26	On motion of plaintiff hearing demurrer set for May 28, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	26	Notice of same filed served	25	
"	28	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	28	Defendant Weimann files written answer	25	
June	2	On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial June 4, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	4	Subpoena for 2 witnesses issued for defendant Weiman	50	
"	4	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant Chas Mayer at this township on the 16th day of May 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired now on motion of Thompson & Prince it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Chas Mayer be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
"	4	At the time set the plaintiff and defendant Weimann with their counsel are in Court and the trial proceeds Geo Rupert and Charles Mayer are sworn and testify in behalf of the Plaintiff and H.S. Stutzman G Brainard and H Weimann are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant Weimann. The matter is argued and submitted and the Court finds for the plaintiff in the sum prayed for to wit. $149 85	2 25	
			10 50	

No. 422

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Singer Manufacturing Co a Corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Fagan and Mrs John Doe Fagan, Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ Sewing Machine

Porter & Freman
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 15		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 15		affidavit and undertaking on claim & Delivery made	1 75	
" 15		summons returned & filed showing service	50	
" 26		Defendants made default & judgment ordered & property claimed delivered to plaintiff & costs paid by plaintiff A Austin Justice of the Peace	2 50	
		Geo E Dierssen vs A Weimann & Chas Mayer } From p 174		
		Whereforx by reason of the default and the finding aforesaid judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo E. Dierssen and against the defendants A Weimann and Chas Mayer for the sum of $149 85 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $62 50 Total Judgment $212 35 Done in open Court this 4th day of June 1894 A Austin Justice of the Peace	10 50	
			1 00 Witnesses 4 40 Const 4 60 = 11 50	
			11 50	
June 4		Cost bill filed by plaintiff claiming $78 70 Constables costs	20	
" 5		Motion to retax costs (Constables) filed and by consent argued	20	
" 6		Notice of appeal filed by defendant Weimann and submitted at once and taken under advisement	50	
" 8		It is this day ordered that costs ~~are~~ and they are retaxed at $60 90 as above	20	
" 8		Execution issued & delivered to W P Thompson	1 25	14 00
Sep 19		Execution returned & filed partly satisfied All costs to $53 35 on demand which with $50 00 thereto for paid by the Constables to plaintiffs attorneys makes a total credit of $103 20 on demand.		

176

No. 423

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William Dunlap

Plaintiff

vs.

Herman Weiman & Charles Mayer Co-partners as Wieman & Mayer

Defendants

Action assigned a/c

Demand $126.15

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mark Walsen & C. C. Merriam
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
					Pd 2.50
May	15		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
"	15		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	15		Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	15		Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Weiman	.50	
"	19		Defendant by M. Walsen demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	.50	
"	26		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing May 28. 1894 at 2 P. M.	.50	
"	26		Notice of above order filed showing service	.25	
"	28		Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	.25	
"	28		Defendant Weiman files written answer	.25	
"	28		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	.25	
June	8		On motion of plaintiff trial set for June 9. 1893 at 2 P. M.	.50	
"	9		Subpoena for one witness issued for plaintiff	.25	
"	9		Subpoena returned & filed	.25	

No. 424

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W Parker Lyon, Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Eva Myrick, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 47.00

G. C. Freman, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	17		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	17		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	25		Summons returned & filed showing service S.F. May 23. 1894	50	
"	28		Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
June	16		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the City and County of San Francisco State of California on the 23rd day of May 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit; twenty days has fully expired now on motion of G. C. Freman it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Mrs Eva Myrick, be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
			On further motion of said Freman it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. Parker Lyon and against the defendant Mrs Eva Myrick for the sum of $47.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $14.50	1 00	6 70 / 7 80 / 14 50
			Total judgment $61.50		
			Done in open Court this 16th June 1894		
			A Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
"	28		Execution issued to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
Aug	2		Returned & filed wholly satisfied		

1894 October 2. Justice fees paid by plff

178

No. 425

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Stephens & Bean a Co-partnership consisting of L.O. Stephens & W.A. Bean Plaintiffs

vs.

J S Bedford Defendant

Action Ap

Demand $58.00

A H Holaling Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1896		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
May	19	Cause entered and complaint filed	100	

No. 426

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Aug Weihe & Otto Froelich Executors of the estate of John D Forthcamp Deceased
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Toy
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 299 90

W T Mattingly
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. July 25, 1895 Justices fees pd 4 25	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Chg			
May	22	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	22	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	22	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	22	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	22	Writ of attachment returned & filed not levied	25	

180

No. 427

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. Begovich and Peter Cernokus, Plaintiffs,

vs.

J. D. Jones, William Patterson Jr., Kaufman and T. L. Broderick, Defendants.

Action Bal Cont Labor

Demand $125.00

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	Chg	PROCEEDINGS. Jones pd 5.00 of costs Oct 15	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 25		Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.00
" 26		Summons returned & filed served on J D Jones	50	
June 1		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant J D Jones at this township on the 26th day of May 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired Now on motion of H H Welsh it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J D. Jones be and the same is hereby entered	90	
		On further motion of said H H Welsh it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs N Begovich and Peter Cernokus and against the defendant J. D. Jones for the sum of $125.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed $6.20	1 00 comt	4 20 2 00 6 20
		Total judgment $131.20		
		Done in open Court the 1st day of June 1894		
		A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 428

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. A. Hoover, Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Bedford, Defendant

Action Prom. note

Demand $ 96.00 Int & atty's fee

Geo L. Walcow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
May	26	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	26	Summons returned and filed served	50	
June	1	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 26th day of May 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Geo L. Walcow it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J S Bedford be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Walcow it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff T A Hoover and against the defendant J S Bedford for the sum of $ 124 77 (Principal $96 00 Interest $16 00 Attyfee $28 00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 6 20	1 00 Omar	4 20 2 00 6 20
		Total judgment $ 130 97		
		Done in open Court this 1st June 1894 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
1895 Oct	17	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Walcow	50 Pd	
1896 April	12	Execution issued and delivered to Walcow	1 25	
Dec	2	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day by order of plaintiffs Atty H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		

Jan 18.96. Justices fees paid by plaintiff

No. 429

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Willie Carruthers by his guardian ad Litem W A Carruthers Plaintiff

vs.

J H Kelly and L Kruseman Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 2 Cows & Calves

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L. Warlow
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	26	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	26	Petition for appointment of guardian ad Litem filed	25	
"	26	Order appointing guardian ad Litem made & filed	50	
"	31	Defendants by G.L. Warlow demurs to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	31	Summons returned and filed served	20	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking on claim & delivery filed executed	1 25	
June	1.	By consent hearing of demurrer set for this date at 2. P. M	50	
"	1.	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	1	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	1	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for June 8, 1894 at 11 oclock A. M and Jury demanded by plaintiff	75	
"	1	Subpoenas for 15 Witnesses issued for Plaintiff	3 75	
"	7	Venire for Jury issued (20) citizens)	25	
"	8	Venire and subpoenas returned and filed	50	
"	8	amended answer filed after leave had & obtained	25	
"	8	at the time set all parties are in Court and announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds, of the names on the return of the venire the following nine are selected and sworn to try the cause viz F.P. Love, Fred Banta O. Pickford, N F Clifton, J Streeter, G H Bonoffon, S W Renfro and Thomas Hunt and a special venire for six citizens to act as jurors herein issued returnable forthwith The venire is returned and filed and the Jury is completed by the selection of Louis Manuel, A Stevens and B. M Hague who are sworn to try the cause Willie Carruthers is sworn and testifies in his own behalf whereupon after admonishing the Jury as required by law the further hearing is adjourned to June 9 1894 at 9-30 a. M.	6 00	
"	9	At the time set all parties are present and the trial is resumed. The Jury is called and are all present and		

See p 191

16 75

No. 430

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. W. Gearhart, Plaintiff

vs.

P. W. Hastie, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 213 50

L. L. Cory, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				Pd 2 00
May	26	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 25	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
June	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	21	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	20	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this 3rd Township on the 21st day of June 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant P. W. Hastie be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. W. Gearhart and against the defendant P. W. Hastie for the sum of $213 50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 13 90	100	6 70 7 20 13 90 1 25 15 15
		Total Judgment $ 227 40		
		Done in open Court this 27th June 1894 H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
July	23	Execution issued. (Gearhart)		

The within judgment is satisfied in full this 18th day of Aug 1894

184

No. 431

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. W. Gearhart — Plaintiff

vs.

A. P. Armas — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 33.00

L. L. Coy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May	29		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	29		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	29		Writ of attachment issued	50	
June	4		Summons returned & filed served	50	
June	6		Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	
"	12		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 4th day of June 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of L. L. Coy It is ordered that the default of the said defendant A. P. Armas be and the same is hereby entered.	8 50 paid by Jug. June 25, 1894 a. J. P.	
				95	
			On further motion of said Coy It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. W. Gearhart and against the defendant A. P. Armas for the sum of $33.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $15.60	1 00 Const.	6 50 8 90 15 60 1 25 16 85
			Total Judgment $48.60		
			Done in open Court this 12th day of June 1894		
			H. Austin		
			Justice of the Peace.		
July	14		Execution issued and delivered to plaintiff	1 25	
Aug	10		Judgment credited with $35.00 All Costs & $18.15 for in demand.		
"	10		Execution returned & filed partly satisfied		

No. 432

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Republican Publishing Company a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

S T Langley
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 33 95

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 31		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 50
" 31		affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 31		Writ of attachment issued	50	
June 7		All papers returned not served and on motion of plaintiff cause dismissed & costs chgd to plaintiff		

A Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

186

No. 433

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. E. Wilkinson

Plaintiff

vs.

Quong Wah Chong

Defendant

Action Promissory

Demand $40.00 Int & attys fees

A. M. Drew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Chg	June 4. 5.00 pd by Deft		
May	31	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.00
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
June	4	Papers returned not served		

No. 434

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Canal and Irrigation Company a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Pero, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 50.00

Geo E Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		chg		Pf 2.50
June	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	7	Summons returned and filed personally served	50	
"	11	Defendant by Geo B Graham answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	

188

No. 435

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Patrick Dannolly
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Gordon and Henry Ostler
Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $59.00

Geo L. Hood
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L. Warlow
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	7	Summons returned & filed personally served	50	
"	9	Defendant Ostler by Geo L Warlow answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	10	Defendant Gordon personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	25	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial July 2. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
July	2	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds. Patrick Dannelly, Joseph Gordon, Ed & H T King are sworn and testify for Plaintiff and further hearing is continued to July 12. 1894 at 2 P.M.	1 00	
"	12	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. Henry Ostler, Frank Libbo Ed J H Ostler are sworn and testify for Defendants. The matter is argued and submitted Ed by the Court taken under advisement	1 50	
"	30	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $52.50	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Patrick Dannelly Ed against the defendants Joseph Gordon Ed Henry Ostler for the sum of $52.50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $17.40	1 00	7 00
		Total Judgment $69.90	const	6 00
		Done in open Court this 30th July 1894	Writ	4 40
				17 40
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Aug	4	Execution issued & delivered to Hood	1 25	
Oct	4	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
"	9	Execution issued & sent to Chas O Chr Fowler	1 25	

No. 436

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The San Francisco and Sacramento Candy Manufacturing Co a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J J Krohn and Jas Punden co-partners as Krohn & Punden
Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 254 19

A M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. June 16 all costs paid	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
	Chq			
June	6	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	6	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7	Summons returned & filed showing service on Punden	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	20	
"	7	Affidavit filed and order shortening time for notice of application for order of sale of perishable property filed	50	
"	7	Order sale of perishable property made & delivered to Wm Show Constable	25	
"	15	Order of sale returned & filed	20	
"	15	It appearing to the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant Jas Punden one of the partners in the association of Krohn & Punden, a partnership, at this township on June 6th 1894 and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of A M Drew It is ordered that the default of the said defendants J J Krohn and Jas Punden Co-partners as Krohn & Punden be and the same is hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of said Drew It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is rendered and entered herein in favor of the Plaintiff corporation and against the defendants Krohn & Punden a co-partnership for the sum of $ 254 19 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 35 25	100 / const 7 95 / 27 30 / 35 25	
		Total Judgment $ 289 44		
		Done in open Court this 15th June 1894		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.		
June	15	Judgment credited with 146 47 all costs & 111 22 paid on demand		

No. 437

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. M. Sponogle

Plaintiff

vs.

E O McGoon

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 25.

W S Crawford

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	8	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	Pd 2.00

No. 429

In the Court of the County of

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff vs. Defendant

Action From page 182

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
		up	16 75	
June	9	and the following witnesses are examined on the part of plaintiff viz: Willie Carruthers is recalled, and L. W Carruthers F. T Lonsdale Mrs Atwater, J H Sewell, Mrs H. L. Lonsdale Rodney Lonsdale, Fred Goodrich, Mrs W A Carruthers, Walter Carruthers, F. C Lord, W. A. Carruthers, and Mike Ipsen whereupon the plaintiff rests his case and K R McCombs after being sworn testifies for Defendant. at this point by agreement and after admonishing the Jury the further hearing of this Cause is adjourned to June 11, 1894 at 11 a m	12 55	
"	11	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, the calling of the Jury being waived and the following witnesses after being sworn testify in behalf of defendants, viz: K. R. McCombs is recalled Jas Kelly, Wm Kelly and B T Alford. and Court adjourns to 9.30 of the 12th day, June 1894 the Jury being admonished	900	
"	12	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, calling of Jury waived, and Henry Crofoot, L Kruesmann, E F Van Diesel, J H Finnck, A Naermeyer L. F Ward, and J A Graham are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants. and Wm S. Marks L W Carruthers Geo Edwards, W. R. Furnish, Mrs Lonsdale Willie Carruthers and Rodney Lonsdale are sworn and testify for the plaintiff in rebuttal. The cause is argued by the respective counsel and submitted to the Jury and Wm Show sworn to take charge of the Jury who retire and are afterward returned into Court, where they each personally state that they have not agreed upon a verdict, nor has any nine of them so agreed and that no nine of them can so agree and each states that he will not change his views of the case. and it appearing to the Court that the Jury cannot agree it is ordered that they be discharged and that the plaintiff who		

See p 192

3830

No. 429

In the Court of the County of

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Caruthers

Plaintiff

vs.

Kelly et al

Defendant

Action From page 182

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
			cp 38	30		
June	12	demanded the Jury pay the Jury fees which amount to the sum of $14400	16	80	55	10
July	30	Notice of Motion to dismiss filed by Defendant		25		
Sept	7	On motion of Geo L. Warlow hearing of above motion set for Sept 8, 1894 at 10 a.m. and J. P. Meux notified		50		
"	8	Motion argued submitted and taken under advisement until Sept 22, 1894		50		
"	22	Jury fees of $14400 paid by plaintiff and above motion denied		25		
"	29	Stipulation setting case for trial re filed and cause set for trial Nov 9, 1894 at 10 a m & jury waived		50		
Nov	9	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, W A. Caruthers and Geo Edwards are recalled and testify for plaintiff and J. B. Barton, W. B. Peck, O. Pickford, S. W. Renfro, B. M Hague, Fred Banta, J H Hunt and J Streeter are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and John H Doyle, J Streeter, R B Shipp, S. Kusenann, A Barnier, A T Stevens, Louis Manuel, G. H. Barnoffon, S. R. Fleming, F. P. Love and H G Clifton, are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants, & adjourned to Nov. 10. 1894 at 9 a.m.				
"	10	Mr Peck, J. B. Barton, & W A Caruthers are recalled and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal and J H Kelly is re-called and testifies for defendants in rebuttal. The matter is argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement		675		
1895 Feby	4	The court this day finds for plaintiff for the return of the property described in the Complaint or for $60.00 which the Court finds to be the value thereof together with $20.00 as damages for the detention thereof		25		
		Wherefore judgment is hereby ordered and given in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for the return of the property in the complaint described, to wit:				

pg 194

61 10

No. 440

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H.S. Fisher

Plaintiff

vs.

Hick Dock

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 134 51

A. M Drew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	14	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	14	Summons returned and filed served on Hick Dock	50	
"	14	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	

194

No. 441

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs E. C. McMullen

Plaintiff

vs.

A.E. Chittenden & Menet, A.E. Chittenden & Co.

Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $53.75 Int & atty's fees

H. M. Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Aug 18. $55.00 pd by deft. J.C.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 20
June	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	26	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	20	
Aug	14	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & costs paid H Austin Justice of the Peace	Pd 5.00	
		Cauthers vs Kelly etal } From fog 192.		
			64 10	
		two Jersey cows one of a light color and one of a dark color, branded W. together with their suckling calves at the time this action was commenced, and in the event that said property be not returned it is ordered, that the plaintiff have and recover (and he is hereby given) judgment against said defendants J.H. Kelly & L. Kruesemann, and each of them for the sum of $60.00 the value thereof and for the further sum of $20.00 as damages for time spent in the pursuit thereof and for costs of suit herein taxed at $394.30	100	30 00
		Total Judgment $474.30 Done in open Court this 4th Feby 1895 H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Feby	5	Cost bill filed by plaintiff	25	
Mch	1	Notice of appeal filed by defendants	25	
"	1	Undertaking on appeal filed by defendants	75	
"	8	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	

No. 442

In the Justices Court of the 3rd County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thos A Avazian and M K Yereksian, Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Gilz, Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $

John C Deuel, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued returnable June 19, 1894	1 50	
"	25	Summons returned filed served, as of June 19. 1894 Time prolong	50	
"	19	Defendant by Geo B Graham demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	26	The plaintiffs moves the Court for permission to verify his complaint permission granted and complaint verified	75	
"	26	On motion of plaintiff hearing on above demurrer set for June 29. 94 at 10 a m	50	
"	29	Notice of above order filed showing service on G B Graham	25	
"	29	The demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	29	It appearing to the Court that the defendant has not complied with the above order to answer and has failed to answer at once now on motion of John C Deuel it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Sam Gilz be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		Thos Avazian is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff from which testimony it appears that all the allegations of the complaint are true and it is here ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Whereon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Thos Avazian and M K Yereksian and against the defendant Sam Gilz as follows viz: 1st For the sum of $30 the rent found due, trebled, to wit: $90.00 2nd It is further adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs Thos Avazian and M. K. Yereksian be restored to the possession of the premises described in the complaint herein, to wit: Lots 29. 30. 31 & 6 feet of Lot 32. in Block 202 of the City of Fresno, together with the appurtenances, and that the said defendant Sam Gilz be ousted from the possession thereof 3rd That the lease under which the defendant has held possession of said premises be and the same hereby is declared forfeited and at an end. and 4th That the said plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendants their costs of this action taxed at $10.20	1 20	7 20
			Costs	3 00
				10 20

Total Judgment $100.20

Done in open Court this 29th day of June 1894

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 443

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Austin, Plaintiff

vs.

J. Metzer, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 5450

E. L. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		DEFENDANT.	
June 1895	16	Cause entered complaint filed	1	00		
June	15	Summons issued		50		

No. 444

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo A. Nourse, Plaintiff

vs.

W. P. Thompson, Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 92.40

Geo A. Nourse, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	—
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	—
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
July	2	Summons returned and filed served	50	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	—
"	2	Defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	—
"	6	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause set for July 7. 1894 at 11 a.m	50	
"	7	Notice of above order filed showing service	50	
"	7	At the time set trial continued to 3 P.M of this day	50	
"	7	At the time set all parties are present and the defendant asks leave to file amended answer. Leave is granted and amended answer filed	75	—
"	7	whereupon the trial proceeds. The note is offered and filed in evidence without objection whereupon plaintiff rests and Geo A. Nourse and W P. Thompson are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court orders Judgment as prayed for	1 25	—
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo. A. Nourse and against the defendant W. P. Thompson for the sum of $92.40 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 13.80	100 / Const	9 00 / 4 80 / 13 80
		Total Judgment $ 106.20		
		Done in open Court this 7th July 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
July	9	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	—
"	9	Affidavit for order supplemental proceedings filed & order made	50	
"	10	Order supplemental proceedings returned filed served on Seaton	25	—
"	10	D M Seaton examined on supp	50	

No. 445

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B T Alford & J W Rogers Co-partners as Alford & Rogers, Plaintiff

vs.

E H Goned, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 209 68

B T Alford, Attorney for Plaintiff

L L Coy, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
June	28	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Aug	7	Summons returned & filed showing service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	13	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 6th day of July 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired, now on motion of B.T. Alford It is ordered that the default of the said defendant E H Goned be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion said B T Alford It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs B.T. Alford and J.W. Rogers, Co-partners as Alford & Rogers and against the defendant E.H. Goned for the sum of $209 68 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 66 40	100	6 70 / 59 70 / 66 40
		Total Judgment $ 276 08		
		Done in open Court this 13th day Aug 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	13	Execution issued and delivered to Show Constable	1 25	
"	21	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied		
"	23	Order staying proceedings made & filed and affidavit and notice of motion to open defaulted &c on Sept 1, 1894 at 10 a m filed	1 00	
"	23	Demurrer offered for filing		
Sep	1	Motion argued submitted & taken under advisement G.G. Gouch appeared for Plff	50	
"	8	Motion to open default & set aside judgment denied	25	
"	11	Notice of appeal and undertaking on appeal filed	50	

No. 447

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mrs A. L. Hussy, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Schorling, Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $100 —

W D Grady, Attorney for Plaintiff

Stanton L. Carter, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	2	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking in claim & Delivery filed	1 75	
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	9	Notice of justification of sureties re-delivery bond filed	25	
"	10	Sureties justify & bond approved & property ordered re-delivered to defendant & bond filed	75	
"	10	Written answer filed	25	
"	10	On motion of defendant trial set for July 17, 1894 at 9 a m	50	
"	13	Jury demanded by plaintiff	25	
"	13	Subpoena for 1 witness issued for plaintiff	25	
"	14	Subpoena for 2 witnesses issued for defendant	50	
"	16	Venire for 15 jurors issued	50	
"	17	Above venire and subpoenas returned and filed	75	
"	17	At the time set the parties are present and the trial proceeds The following six are selected and by agreement of counsel are sworn To try the cause, viz: G.C. St Louis, Ed Williams, W.C. Marshal M.J. Whitney, C.A. Campbell, and F.H. Driver; Mrs A.L. Hussey, Geo Schorling, W D Grady and Mrs J.E. Lee are sworn and testify for plaintiff and John Morley, Geo Schorling, & John De La Fontaine are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and Wm Show Constable is sworn to take charge of the jury who retire and are afterwards returned into court with the following verdict: "Fresno Cal July 17, 1894 We the jury find for the plaintiff for the delivery of the property described in the complaint and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of One hundred dollars the which we find to be the value thereof J.E. Williams Foreman."	Jus 10 — Const 6 — Jury 18 Grady 1.50 Wit 2.00 $37.50	
			5 75	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Mrs A.L. Hussy and against the defendant George Schorling for the delivery to plaintiff by defendant of one certain pursat named Polly and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of $100.00 which the jury find to be the value of said property and for the costs of this action taxed at $37.50 Total judgment $37.50	100 Const	14 20

Done in open Court this 17th July 1894

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

" 19 The above judgment satisfied in full this day, the property delivered & costs paid

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

200

No. 448

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Edwards

Plaintiff

vs.

R. E. Dix

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 38 60

H H Welch

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	3	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Oct	23	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the atty for pltff & costs paid. H. Austin Justice of the Peace		3 50 Pd.

No. 449

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Bank of Fresno a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

L. Papovich and Milan Vucovich & M G Vucovich
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 250 Int & attys fee

H C Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	3	The defendant Papovich appears voluntarily and without the service of process, waiving no rights	25	
"	7	Defendant Papovich by S Jackson demurs orally to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Sept	13	Summons returned & filed not served	25	
"	13	Plaintiff asks and is granted leave to file amended complaint and amended complaint filed	75	
"	13	Summons on amended complaint issued	50	
"	18	Defendant Papovich by S. Jackson files written demurrer to Amd Cmplt	25	
Sept	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed by Pltff	1 75	
"	22	Summons on amended complaint returned & filed showing service on M Vucovich and M.G. Vucovich in Tulare County California	50	
"	22	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	
"	27	Demurrer of Papovich set for hearing Sept 29, 1894 at 9 a m	50	
"	29	Above demurrer submitted overruled & days to Answer	75	
Oct	1	Defendant Papovich answers amended complaint orally denying each and every allegation therein	25	
"	3	Defendants Milan Vucovich and M.G. Vucovich by S Jackson demurs orally to the amended complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	5	Hearing of above demurrer set for Oct 8, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
"	8	Demurrer submitted overruled & days given to Answer	75	
"	10	Defendants Vucovich answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	15	The trial of this cause set for Oct 18, 1894 at 9. a m	50	
"	16	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	18	This action settled by payment in open Court this day and hereby dismissed on motion of Plaintiffs Attorney	1 75	

A Austin
Justice of the Peace

Pd 6 00 in full

No. 450

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. F. Austin, Plaintiff

vs.

J. P. Jack, Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 65.00

F. M. Chittenden, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Aug 13. Chittenden pd 4.00 J & 1.00 Const	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
July	7	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued returnable July 10	1 25	
"	7	Summons returned & filed served	20	
Nov	26	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the said defendant at this Township on the 7th day of July 1894 and that the return day named therein is long since past and that the said defendant has not appeared & answered herein as therein commanded, now on motion of F. M. Chittenden it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. P. Jack be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		F. M. Chittenden is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff from which it appears that all the allegations of plaintiffs complaint are true and that there is now due for rent of said premises the sum of $140.32	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. F. Austin and against the defendant J. P. Jack, and it is here ordered that the said plaintiff be restored to the possession of said premises viz; Lots 28, 29 & 30 in Block 55. of the City of Fresno, Also for the sum of $140.32 the amount of rent found due, trebled, to wit; $420.96 of which plaintiff remits $171.96 leaving a balance of $249.00. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the said lease of said premises be forfeited and annulled, and for costs of suit taxed at $5.95	1 00	3 95
		Total Judgment $254.95	Const	2 00
		Done in open Court this 26th Nov 1894		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	26	Writ of restitution issued & delivered to W. Shaw Const	1 25	

No. 451

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F Knobloch

Plaintiff

vs.

F M Spmogle

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10 20

F. Knobloch

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		COSTS. DEFENDANT.	
July	10	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1	25		
"	10	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	70		
"	10	Writ of attachment issued		50		

No. 452

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. M. Scalon

Plaintiff

vs.

J. Hefner, Mrs J. Hefner formerly Mrs J. Kiley

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 25

D. M. Scalon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	11	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	14	On motion of plaintiff above cause is this day dismissed costs paid H. Austin Justice of the Peace	PJ 15-0 in Fee	

No. 453

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Neil McDonald

Plaintiff

vs.

John Baird, Robert Baird, Dougald Baird & Andrew Baird Co-partners as Baird Brothers

Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 26367

Geo L. Hood
Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo E. Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	11	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	12	Andrew Baird on behalf of himself and co-defendants demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	26	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing July 27, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	27	All parties present, demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once	75	
"	27	The defendants answer denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
Aug	18	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Aug 28, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	18	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	28	On motion of Plaintiff trial continued to Sept 1, 1894 at 2 P.M.	50	
Sept	1	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, Neil McDonald & C A Foy are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Andrew Baird & John Baird are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants, The case is closed and the matter argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 25	
Dec	27	The Court this day finds for the defendants Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendants John Baird, Robert Baird Dougald Baird, & Andrew Baird Co-partners as Baird Brothers and against the plaintiff Neil McDonald for the costs of this action taxed at $7.00	1 00	7 00
		Total Judgment $7.00		
		Done in open Court this 27th Dec 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 455

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. P. Fleishman, Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinney, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10 —

R. R. Murray
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Coy & Lee Moultrie
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25 —	
"	16	Summons returned filed showing personal service	50	
"	21	Written demurrer filed by Coy & Moultrie for Defendant	25 —	
Aug	3	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for Aug 6. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	3	Notice of above order filed showing service	25 —	
"	6	Demurrer submitted overruled & 5 days to answer	75 —	
"	11	Defendant files written answer	25 —	

No. 456

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Wolters & George Wolters Co-partners as Wolters & Co Plaintiff

vs.

G A Baron Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 24 05

Henry Wolters Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	16	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	16	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 16th day of July 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of said plaintiffs it is ordered that the default of the said defendant G A Baron be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said plaintiffs it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Whereon judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs Henry Wolters & George Wolters Co-partners as Wolters & Co and against the defendant G A Baron for the sum of $ 24 05 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 6 20	1 00 Const	4 20 2 00 6 20
		Total judgment $ 30 25		
		Done in open Court this 25th July 1894 A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Dec 1895	27	Execution issued and delivered to Geo Matheson	1 25	
~~Feb~~ May	25	" Returned & filed nulla bona		

No. 457

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Carey

Plaintiff

vs.

L. J. Miller and John Brown

Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $100 Int & atty fees.

S Jackson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Justices fees paid by Jackson Jany 22. 1895	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
July	16	Cause entered complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	
Aug	23	Summons returned & filed served on John Brown	50	
Sept	3	Alias summons issued	50	
"	29	Alias summons returned & filed served on L J Miller	50	
Oct	8	It appearing to the Court from the returns endorsed on the summons and alias summons that copies thereof attached to true copys of the complaint herein were personally served on the defendants as follows: On L. J. Miller at this 3rd Township on the 29th day of Sept. 1894 and on John Brown at this County on the 23rd day of August 1894 and that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever nor has either of them so appeared and the legal time therefor has fully expired now on motion of S Jackson it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants L J. Miller and John Brown be and the same are hereby entered	11/28/94 1 20	
		On further motion of said Jackson it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. Carey and against the defendants L. J. Miller and John Brown for the sum of $138.00 (Principal $100 – Interest $13.00 atty fee $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12.75	100 Cost	5 45 7 30 12 75
		Total judgment $150.75		
		Done in open Court this 8th day of October 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Oct	10	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to S Jackson	70	

No. 458

In the justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Pero Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Peck Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 67 05

S. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
July	17	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Summons returned & filed not served	50	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned & filed not served	25	
"	19	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs atty demand & costs paid	Pd 5 50	

H. Austin
Justice of the Peace

5 50
0
5 50

No. 459

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Rattger

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 25.00

S. J. Hinds

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Aug		Defendants by H H Welsh appear and demur to the complaint and say it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 460

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G W Haber, Plaintiff

vs.

Eugene Zicher, Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 44 84

H. M Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	30	Summons & attachment returned & filed 2nd demand and costs paid into Court. Now on motion of the plaintiff the above action is dismissed Az Austin Justice of the Peace	Pd	472

No. 461

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank S Clark

Plaintiff

vs.

Gustav A. Anderson and Charles J L. Anderson

Defendants

Action Com note

Demand $ 299 90

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	28	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 20	
Aug	1	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	1	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Aug	3	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	3	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	20	
"	10	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendants Gustav A. Anderson and Charles J L. Anderson at this township on the 3rd day of Aug 1898 and that the said defendants nor either of them have appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants Gustav A. Anderson and Charles J L. Anderson be and the same are hereby entered		1 25

No. 462

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

August Oberg, Plaintiff

vs

John Havard, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 139.00

A Oberg
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July	30	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	30	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	31	Defendant by M Walser answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	Pf 5 50
Aug	6	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	6	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied	25	

No. 463

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. A. Serpa, Plaintiff

vs.

F. J. Caetano, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $69 85

T. A. Serpa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. $4 00 pd a/c J.C. Aug 2.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
July	30	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1	20	—	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	70	—	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued		50		
"	30	Summons returned & filed showing personal service		50		
"	30	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied		25	—	

No. 464

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas Huber, Plaintiff

vs.

Sing Lee & Co, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 165-75

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 V		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	31	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Aug	4	papers returned without service and on motion of plaintiff this action is dismissed and costs paid	PD 5 50	

H z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 465

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. H. McKenzie, Plaintiff

vs.

C. C. Waterman and Benj R. Woodworth, Defendants

Action Prom note Bal

Demand $115.85 Int & Attys fee

Horace Hawes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	31	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
Aug	4	Defendant Woodworth appears personally and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	7	Above demurrer set for hearing Aug 9. 1894 at 9 9 M	50	
"	7	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	9	Demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days to answer	75	
"	9	Defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	15	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Aug 17. 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	15	Notice of above order filed personally served	25	
"	17	On motion of defendant trial continued to Aug 24. at 1 P. M	50	
"	24	At the time set the plaintiff is in Court ready to proceed with the trial and there is no appearance for Defendant. After waiting one full hour the trial proceeds in the absence of defendant. Horace Hawes and Mark Walser are sworn and testify for plaintiff and the note sued on is introduced in evidence. From the testimony adduced the Court orders judgment for $53.66 and fixes the attorneys fee at $15.00	1 00	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. H. McKenzie and against the defendant Benj R. Woodworth for the sum of $68.66 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $7.50	1 00	6 00 / 1 50 / 7 50
		Total Judgment $76.16		
		Done in open Court this 24th Aug 1894		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Sept	1	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
Oct	2	The above judgment satisfied ~~in full~~ this day		
"	8	Execution returned & filed not Sat.		

Horace Hawes
Atty for Pltff

No. 466

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S B Goodman, Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Hockenberry, Defendant

Action Case

Demand $ 12.50

S B Goodman, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July	31	Cause entered, complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	31	Defendant by M Walser appears and answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	31	On motion of defendant trial set for Aug 2, 1894 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	31	Notice of above order filed & served	25	
Aug	2	On motion of plaintiff trial continued to Aug 8, 1894 at 9 a m	50	
"	2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned and filed	25	
"	8	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds S B Goodman, Geo Matheson, and A Alexander are sworn and testify for plaintiff and J Hockenberry, Geo H Austin, P J Reardon, J W. McDonald, J. M Ball L D Winset Geo Kaiser and W. D Crichton are sworn and testify for the defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	2 75	
"	23	The Court this day finds for defendant for costs	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is this day rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Jacob Hockenberry and against the plaintiff S B Goodman for the costs of this action taxed at $30.30	100	9 40
		Total Judgment $30.30		
		Done in open Court this 23rd day of Aug 1894		
		A Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace.	9 40	
"	23	Memorandum of costs verified & filed	50	
Sept	15	Undertaking on appeal filed by plaintiff	75	
"	15	Notice of appeal filed showing service this day	25	
Oct	9	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	12 40

No. 467

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. J. Walton
Plaintiff

vs.

H H Gillam and Maude Gillam
Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 61 15

A E Snow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		DEFENDANT.	
Aug	1	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1	25		

No. 468

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O Scribner — Plaintiff

vs.

E. Morris & Co Composed of W F Hanke and E. Morris — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 297 00

J. P. Mew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Pltff pd 10 75 — Costs paid 15 25 appellant —		
Aug	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	7	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	9	Defendant Hanke by F H Short files written demurrer	25	
1890 Jany	29	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurrer set for this day 2 P. M	50	
"	29	Demurrer submitted & overruled and 1 Day to answer	75	
"	29	Written answer filed by defendants	25	
June	11	On motion of plaintiff trial set for June 18. 1890 at 1-30 P. M	50	
"	18	Subpoena for two witnesses issued for plaintiff	50	
"	18	Above subpoena returned & filed	25	
"	18	At the time set all parties in Court and the trial proceeds F. M Chittenden Jas Auber are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and W D Deming E. Morris, Chas Audibert, Felix Hill and W F Hanke are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	4 25	
1896 Feby	7	The Court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff Jas Auber do have and recover of and from the defendants E. Morris & Co composed of W F Hanke and E. Morris the sum of 297 00 and the costs of this action taxed at $ 25 05	1 00	10 75
		Total judgment $ 322 05	Costs	14 30
		Done in open Court this 7th Feby 1896		25 05
		H z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Feb	12	Costs bill filed by plaintiff		
"	24	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
Mch	5	Defendant Hanke files notice of appeal	25	
"	5	Defendant Hanke files undertaking on appeal & Execution recalled	75	
"	9	Plaintiff files notice of exception to sufficiency of undertaking on appeal	25	

April 23. Defendants to file new undertaking on appeal

1896

10 75
4 50
15 25

No. 469

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Borrels

Plaintiff

vs.

W D Deming

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $16 90

F Borrels

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. Justice fees PD	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Aug	2	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	—
"	2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	—
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	6	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	6	Writ of attachment returned & filed served	25	—
"	6	Defendant personally answers verbally denying each and every		
"		allegation in the complaint	20	—
"	11	The above action is this day dismissed on request of plaintiff costs not paid		

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 470

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Taylor Albin, Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Papasian, Defendant

Action a/c Rent

Demand $ 135.

Geo A. Mourse
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug 2	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
" 2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney & costs paid		Pd 5 50
	H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		

No. 471

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Davis, Plaintiff

vs.

John Rottger, Defendant

Action a/c Stated

Demand $ 150 —

W P Thompson, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Summons returned and filed served this day	50	
"	14	Defendant by S. R. Hart demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 472

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Paul T. J. Mayer

Plaintiff

vs.

F Paatsch

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 180 60

Paul Mayer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.		COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	6	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1	20	
"	6	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued		50	
"	6	Summons returned & filed showing no service		50	
"	6	Writ of attachment returned & filed not levied		50	

Dismissed

No. 473

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ah Quay, Plaintiff
vs.
H. Vartanian, Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 75.00

Geo E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	9	Summons returned and filed served this day	50	
"	14	Defendant by M C Walker demurs orally to plaintiffs Complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	15	by Consent hearing on above demurrer set for this day at 10 a m	50	
"	15	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer at once	75	
"	15	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	30	Defendant asks leave to file amended answer		
"	30	leave granted and amended answer filed	75	
"	30	On motion of defendant trial set for Sept 1, 1894 at 10 a m	50	
Sept	1	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds, Ah Quay and F Kishishyan are sworn and testify for plaintiff and H Vartanian is sworn and testifies for defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	75	
1895 Feb	19	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $28.00		
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Ah Quay and against the defendant H. Vartanian for the sum of $28.00, and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.50	10.00 Cmor	6.50 2.00 8.50
		Total judgment $36.50		
		Done in open Court this 19th day of Feby 1895		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
April	10	The above judgment is satisfied in full by payment		
		H Austin J.P.		

No. 474

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lewis Fiskey, Plaintiff

vs.

Willet Gates, Defendant

Action a/c Stated

Demand $ 85.00

E. A. Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug 11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
" 15	Summons returned & filed this day (at Selma)	50	
" 25	The defendant personally appears and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
" 25	The defendant in Court and consents to hearing of demurrer at once and it is set for hearing accordingly	50	
" 25	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
" 25	It appearing to the Court that the defendant has not answered at once as above ordered and he being in Court refuses to answer and admits the indebtedness alleged, Now on motion of E A Williams it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Willet Gates be and the same is hereby entered.	75	
	On further motion of said E A Williams it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Lewis Fiskey and against the defendant Willet Gates for the sum of $ 85.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $	1 00	5 50
	Total judgment $		
	Done in open Court this 25th Aug 1894 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 25	Execution issued	1 25	
" 25	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		
" 25	Affidavit for order supp filed order made & defendant examined	1 00	

No. 475

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. A. Serpas — Plaintiff

vs.

Bertha L. Joaquin — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 45 25

F. A. Serpas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS. J.C. Pd 5.50 in full	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Aug	16	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1	20		
"	16	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	70		
"	16	Writ of attachment issued		50		
Oct	9	Summons returned and filed served personally		50		
"	9	Writ of attachment returned and filed levied		25		
"	16	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 9th day of Oct. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereof to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of F A Serpas it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Bertha L. Joaquin be and the same is hereby entered		90		
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50		
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff F A Serpas and against the defendant Bertha L Joaquin for the sum of $ 45 25 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 12 00	1	00	6	70
					Deact	5 30
						12 00

Total Judgment $ 57 25

Done in open Court this 16th Oct. 1894

H. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 476

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fannie E. Wharton and Frank H Short
Plaintiff

vs.

B. Marko
Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 299 & atty fees

F H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug 16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	

No. 477

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. A. Hare, Plaintiff

vs.

C. B. Pond, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 85 90

D. R. Prince, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	18	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	25	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	25	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	30	Defendant by H H Halaling files written demurrer	25	
Nov	20	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Nov 23, 1894 at 2 P. M	50	
"	21	Notice of above order filed served	25	
"	23	demurrer submitted overruled & 5 Days to answer	25	
Dec	1.	It appearing to the Court that the defendant herein has not answered as directed in the above order although the time for answer has long since expired, now on motion of D. R. Prince it is ordered that the default of the said defendant C B Pond be and the same is hereby entered, for not answering.	95	
		On further motion of said D. R. Prince it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G A Hare and against the defendant C. B Pond for the sum of $85 90 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 13 35	100	8 45
			Const	4 80
				13 35
		Total judgment $ 99 25		
		Done in open Court this 1st day of Dec 1894		
		Az Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
1895				
Jany	10	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Sept	6	Above judgment Credited with $ 24 45		

No. 478

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. P. Reeser

Plaintiff

vs.

A. S. Cole and M. L. Cole

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 80 & Int

J. E. Doolittle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.	
Aug	18	Cause entered and complaint filed	1	00		

No. 479.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Peoples Savings Bank of Fresno a cooporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Legler and Jane Doe Legler his wife
Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 299.99

H H Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug 21	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
Sept 1	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney settled out of Court & costs paid H Austin Justice of the Peace		5 00

No. 480

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo W Jones, Plaintiff

vs.

S. E. Scott, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 10—

Geo W. Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	23	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
Nov	21	Summons returned filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 21st day of Nov. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days have fully expired, now on motion of Geo W. Jones it is ordered that the default of the said defendant S. E. Scott be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Jones it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo W. Jones and against the defendant S. E. Scott for the sum of $10.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.30	1 00	4 20
			Const	4 10
				8 30

Total judgment $18.30

Done in open Court this 27th Nov 1894

H. Z. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 481

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Warehouse Company
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J. P. Eaton
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $24 54

M. W. Muller
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	24	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 482

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Thomas, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Shepherd, Defendant

Action 2 Prom Notes

Demand $ 173 30

W T Porter and G. C. Freman, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS. J.C. $55 00 Jd Sep 7. 1894	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Aug	24	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	29	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	29	Writ of attachment returned & filed served	25	
"	29	Defendant by H H Welsh files written demurrer	25	
		Fresno Loan & Savings Bank vs A. C. Williams & others } From page 234		
			50	
		thereto attached	25	
Sept	26	It appearing to the Court from the verified return endorsed on the original summons to show cause &c that a copy of the same attached to a true copy of the affidavit thereto attached was personally delivered to the defendants A.C. Williams and A Timbs on the 18th day of Sept 1894 and on the defendant T A Bell on the 20th day of Sept 1894 all at this Township and it further appearing to the Court that the said defendants have not nor has either of them appeared herein by answer nor in any manner nor at all, and the legal time thereof to wit: five days has fully expired Now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the defaults of the said defendants A C Williams, A Timbs and T A Bell be and the same is hereby entered	1 45	
		On further motion of said Horace Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for no cause being shown to the contrary and it is here ordered and adjudged that the said defendants A. C. Williams, A Timbs & T A Bell be bound by the said judgment as though they had been originally served with process in this action	50	11/23/94
		Wherefore, judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank a corporation and against the defendants A. C. Williams, A Timbs and T A Bell for the sum of $ 61 88 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 6 20	100	3 70
		Total judgment $ 68 08	Const	5 00
		Done in open Court this 26th day of Sept 1894	Accrued costs	8 70
		D H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	5	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
1895	5	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Horace Hawes	70	
Oct	10	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw Const	1 25	

No. 483.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, Plaintiff

vs.

A. C. Williams, Geo H Bernhard, J. A. Bell, A Tombs, and T. L. Reel, Defendants

Action Crom note

Demand $ 84.66 Int & 825.00 attys fees

Horace Hawes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	25	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1.25	
Sept	1	Summons returned & filed served on T. L. Reel	50	
"	1	It appearing to the Court from the affidavit of Geo O. Duncan endorsed on the signal Summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant T. L. Reel at this township on the 25th day of August 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired Now on motion of Horace Hawes it is ordered that the default of the said defendant T. L. Reel be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Hawes it is ordered that judgment be entered herein for $51.88 which the Court finds to be the amount due on the promissory note sued on & $10.00 attorneys fee	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank and against the defendant T. L. Reel for the sum of $61.88 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.20	1.00	4.20
		Total judgment $68.08	Const	2.00
		Done in open Court this 1st day of Sept 1894		6.20
		A. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Sept	14	Summons for the defendants A. C. Williams, J. A. Bell, A Tombs and Geo H Bernhard to show cause why they should not be bound by the above judgment issued	50	
Sept	20	Above summons returned & filed showing service on Williams Tombs & Bell together with copy of affidavit		

Nov 30, 1894 Justices costs paid by Plaintiff

See Page 233.

JUN 3 - 1899 Abstract viewed and checked by E. J. O. Trabing

No. 484

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Harvey Moore

Plaintiff

vs.

H. E. Adams and John Elder

Defendants

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 150 - Int + 75.00 atty's fees

Mark Walser
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. D. Edwards
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	27	Cause entered complaint filed. Summons issued	1 25	
Oct	7	Summons returned filed served on Elder at Kings Co Cal	50	
"	25	Defendant John Elder by E D Edwards answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint and avers that on the 13th day of May 1893, a discharge in insolvency was granted to him a certified copy of which he now files and pleads same in bar of this action	50	
1895 Jan	26	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Feby 7, 1895 at 10 a m	50	
1899 Apr.	22	Upon motion of plaintiff trial set for May 3/99 - 10 A.M. and the Court notifies E. D. Edwards attorney for defendants personally of the time set for trial.		
May	3	At the time set plaintiff in Court announces himself ready and after waiting for more than one hour after the hour set for the trial of this case, and the defendant John Elder having failed to appear either in person or by counsel, and the defendant have having had legal notice of the time set for trial, it is ordered by the Court that the trial proceed in said defendants absence. J. Stockenberry and A. D. Ewing are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff. Plaintiff files as a part of plaintiffs evidence the papers in the matter of the insolvency of defendant John Elder and numbered 4798 (withdrawn by clerk) The matter is submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $150.00 principal, $263.96 Interest $40.00 Atty's fee + Costs.		

Wherefore, Judgement is here rendered and entered, and it is by this Court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant John Elder the sum of $ 150.00 principal, $ 263.96 interest, $ 40.00 Attorney's fee, together with costs taxed herein at $ 10.20 Total Judgement $ 464.16

J. C. Stockberry
Justice of the Peace.

Done in open court
this MAY 3 1899

May 4 Plaintiff files Mem. of Costs.

JUN 3 - 1899 Abstract issued and delivered to J. O. Traber Aug. 25/94 Writ of revivor and judgment of Superior Court fed.

No. 485

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mr. E. Featherston

Plaintiff

vs.

Bruce D Goodrich

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 17 00

T. S. Wallace

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 ✓		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	28	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 486

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G A Hare

Plaintiff

vs.

Horace Munn and M J Church

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 204

D. R. Prince

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	28	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	

238

No. 487

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thomas Schaldebrand, Plaintiff

vs.

Eric Johnson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 115 35

Mark Walser, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo L Warlow, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	28	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
Sept	7	Summons returned & filed served at 2nd Township	50	
"	16	Defendant by Geo L. Warlow answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff trial of this case set for Sept 28, 1894 at 9 am	68	
"	28	On motion of defendant trial continued to Oct 27, 1894 at 9 a m	50	
Oct	19	Subpoena for 8 witnesses issued for plaintiff	2 00	
"	27	Subpoena returned & filed & return verified	50	
"	27	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds, Thos Schaldebrand Charly Olesen, Godfrey Johnson, Fred Anderson are sworn and testify in behalf of the Plaintiff and Eric Johnson, John Winblad, O Orsman, Chas Sanstad are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant the matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement,		
Nov	15	The court this day finds for the defendant Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Eric Johnson and against the plaintiff Thomas Schaldebrand for the costs of this action taxed at $ 18 15	2 00	
		Done in open Court this 15th Nov 1894. H Austin, Justice of the Peace	100 / Court 2 60 / Witness 8 80	6 75
				18 15

No. 488

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alex Noble — Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Carruthers — Defendant

Action Prom. note

Demand $ 98.31 Int & Atty fee

Mark Walsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug	29	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Sept	3	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	17	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action, was personally served on the defendant at this Township and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit five days has fully expired now on motion of Mark Walsh it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W. A. Carruthers be and the same is hereby entered.	90	
"	17	On further motion of said Mark Walsh it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
"	17	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Alex Noble and against the defendant W. A. Carruthers for the sum of $ 148.99 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 6.20	Prin 98.31, Int 25.68, Atty fee 25.00, $148.99 — 6 00	4 20, 2 00, 6 20
		Total Judgment $ 155.19		
		Done in open Court this 17th day of Sept 1894		
		A. Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Sept	17	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Show Const	1 25	
1895 Jany	17	Assignment of judgment to J. P. Noble filed	25	
"	19	Order Examination judgment debtor Feb 9. 1895 at 12 m made	25	
Feb	9	Above order filed served & hearing continued to Feb 11. 1895 at 2 P. m	75	
"	11	Hearing continued to Feb 18. 1895 at 10 a m	50	
"	18	Defendant in Court and examined	75	

Nov 16 Execution returned & filed unsatisfied

all costs paid by pltff Dec 18. 1894

11/23/94

No. 489

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John J Laranjo, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Martin, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 20—

John J Laranjo
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	29	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	29	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	29	Writ of attachment issued	60	
Sep	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed		
"	4	On motion of plaintiff above action is dismissed, demand & all costs paid	5 50	

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 490

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Loan and Savings Bank, Plaintiff

vs.

W D Perry and S W Henry, Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $50 Int & attys fees

Horace Hawes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug 29	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	

242

No. 491

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. House, Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Mathney, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $25.00

J. H. House, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	30	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20 —	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75 —	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	60	
"	31	Summons returned & filed served	60	
"	31	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	20 —	
"	31	Defendant personally demurs to the complaint & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	20 —	
"	31	Defendant in Court and consents to hearing demurrer at once and it is set for this day at 2 P. M.	50	
"	31	demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered at once & notice waived	70 —	
"	31	It appearing to the Court that the defendant has not answered as ordered nor at all and he being present in Court and refusing to answer at all and on motion of J. H. House it is ordered that the default of the said defendant C. M. Mathney be and the same is hereby entered.	90 —	
		On further motion of said J. H. House it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. H. House and against the defendant C. M. Mathney for the sum of $25.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12.50	11/23/94	
			1 00	8 00
			Const	4 50
				12 50

Total Judgment $37.50

Done in open Court this 31st day of Aug 1894

A. Austin

Justice of the Peace

Nov 14 $8.00 paid by Pltff in full

No. 492

In the Justicis Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wampum Trust Co a Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

G A Baron

Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 22.20 Int & Attys fee

Horace Hawes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS. Jc. 35 pd Sep 15.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	1	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	1	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	6	Defendant personally demurs to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	3 50
Oct	7	The above action is this day dismissed by order of plaintiffs Atty H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 493.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. M. Soares, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Martin, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 54 50

M M Soares
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	1	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	1	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	1	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	1	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	7	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 1st day of Sept. 1894 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of M M Soares It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Frank Martin be and the same is hereby entered according to law	95	
		On further motion of said M M Soares It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. M. Soares and against the defendant Frank Martin for the sum of $54 50 and for costs of suit herein taxed at 7 70	1 00	6 70
		Total Judgment $62 20		
		Done in open Court this 7th Sept 1894		
		H Z Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
"	7	Above judgment credited with $45 00 all costs & $37 30 paid pltff		

No. 494

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas Huber

Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Kenyon & H. E. St George

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 55 00

Jas Huber
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 X	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept 1	Consented complaint filed	1 00	

No. 495

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J G Pearce, Plaintiff

vs.

C B Jeffries, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 97

J G Pearce
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	5	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	5	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 20	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	3 70	
"	5	The above action is this day dismissed demand & costs paid into Court		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 496

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Hershey, Plaintiff

vs.

N.A. Bostick & H.A. Wakefield co-partners as Bostic & Wakefield, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 15.00

Oliver Walcott, Attorney for Plaintiff

John E. F. Edwards, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	6	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	—
"	6	Summons returned & filed served	50	
"	8	Defendants by J.E.F. Edwards filed written demurrer	25	—
"	12	On motion of plaintiff hearing on demurrer set for Sep 13. 1894 at 10 a.m.	50	
"	13	Demurrer argued submitted and taken under advisement	50	
"	19	Demurrer overruled & 10 days given to answer	50	
"	21	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Oct 2. 1894 at 10 a.m. notice waived	50	
Oct	2	Defendant files written answer	25	—
"	2	By consent trial continued to Nov 8. 1894 at 10 a.m.	50	
"	9	By consent above order setting case for Nov 8, 1894 set aside	50	
"	9	By consent trial of this case set for Nov. 13, 1894 at 10 a.m.	50	
"	13	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, C. Hershey is sworn and testifies in his own behalf, and H.F. Wakefield, and E. Magee are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendants, The matter is argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 00	
Nov	19	The Court this day finds for defendants.	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendants N.A. Bastick and H.F. Wakefield copartners as Bastick & Wakefield and against the plaintiff C. Hershey for the costs of this action taxed at $ 13.90	1 00	8 00
			const	3 90
				11 70
		Total Judgment $ 13.90	mt	2 20
				13 90
		Done in open Court this 19th Nov 1894		
		H.Z. Austin, Justice of the Peace		

Jan 20. Justices fees paid by plaintiff (Pick)

No. 497

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Robert L. Todd, Plaintiff

vs.

The Kutner Goldstein Company a corporation and George Matheson, Defendants

Action Conversion

Demand $ 299 00

L. L. Coy
Attorney for Plaintiff

H H Welsh & W D Tupper
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	7	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	11	Summons returned & filed. showing personal service	50	
"	15	The defendants by H H Welsh demur orally to plaintiffs complaint & says		
1896		It does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Jany	15	Above demurrer set for hearing Jan 18. 1896 at 9. A M	50	
"	18	Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days to answer	75	
"	18	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	27	On motion of plaintiff trial set for Jany 31. 1896 at 2 P. M	50	
"	31	On motion of defendant trial continued to Feby 4. 1896 at 2 P. M	50	
Feby	4	On motion of defendant trial continued to Feby 24. 1896 at 2 P M	50	
"	24	~~By consent~~ trial continued to Mch 2. 1896 at 2 P. M Motion of deft	50	
Mch	2	By consent trial continued to Apr 4. 1896 at 9. A. M.	50	
Apr	4	On motion of defendant trial continued to 3. P. M of this day	50	
"	4	at the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds. W A Conn M. M. Parsons, Ben Swanson, Geo Matheson are sworn & testify for Plaintiff and further hearing continued to April 8. 1896 at 2. P. M.	100	
"	8	F. M Chittenden E. B. Waterman & L. L. Coy are sworn & testify for plaintiff and documentary evidence is introduced by both parties	75	
"	14	Cause argued submitted and by the court taken under advisement	25	
May	9	The Court this day finds for defendant. Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered &c It is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendant Kutner Goldstein Company a corporation do have and recover of and from the plaintiff Robert L. Todd its costs herein incurred at $9 75	100	Cost 9 75
		Done in open Court this 9th day of May 1896. H. z. Austin Justice of the Peace.		
June	8	Plaintiff files notice of appeal		
"	8	Plaintiff files undertaking on appeal		

No. 498

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. L. Collins

Plaintiff

vs.

The Fresno Canning Company a corporation

Defendant

Action assigned a/c

Demand $ 35 28

H. C. Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Sept	8	Cause entered & Complaint filed	1 00	Paid 6/11/96

No. 499.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. L. Hobbs, Plaintiff

vs.

Jens Hansen, Defendant

Action assigned a/s

Demand $ 199 98

N. T. Porter
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	8	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	15	Summons returned & filed personally served	50	
"	15	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied	25	
"	15	Defendant in Court specially and under § 554 CC applies to the Court for the release of the attachment herein and the bond fixed at $400 –	50	
"	15	Bond of $400 – made & filed and property attached ordered released	1 00	
"	20	Defendant by E W Risley demurs orally to the complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	

No. 500

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M Lane, Plaintiff

vs.

O. A. Stine, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 91.25

S Jackson, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	15	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	—
"	15	Affidavit & Undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	15	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Summons returned & filed not served	25	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned & filed levied & released	50	

No. 500½

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J B Askew

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Sutherland

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $100 & Int

J H Pallerson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 4		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	3	Cause entered and complaint filed	100	Pd.